The Future of Infrastructure
Voice of the People

Over 10,000 citizens from 10 major cities share their views on how satisfied, safe, inspired and engaged they are with their infrastructure networks and services.

Everyone’s Business
An informed, engaged public is key to modernizing infrastructure.

Engagement
Five steps to working closer with communities.

Accelerated Delivery
Adopting the Lean process to save time and money.

Resilience
Using a simulation exercise, Houston takes a cyber stress test.

Innovation
How MaaS could revolutionize the way cities are planned and run.
There are articles, too, addressing many of the issues raised around funding, stakeholder engagement, resilience, accelerated project delivery, the next-generation workforce and infrastructure innovations that will impact and shape our cities of the future.

Our new Future of Infrastructure report marks the beginning of a series of important conversations involving the public and private sectors, governments, and the people they serve in cities around the world. AECOM is uniquely placed to respond to the challenges identified in this report. Our engineers, builders, planners and other professionals are already delivering compelling solutions as we help to improve quality of life for all.

Thank you for being a part of this important conversation.

Michael S. Burke
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
In 2018, the Globalization and World Cities Research Network (GAWC) listed Mumbai as one of the most economically robust Alpha-level cities in the world, reinforcing Mumbai’s position as an emerging world city. Mumbai is also considered as one of the top 20 tertiary cities in the world. Studies have also placed Mumbai as one of the top 20 cities around the world, reinforcing Mumbai’s position as an emerging world city.

In our Future of Infrastructure survey, Mumbai scores clearly indicate that they need an upgradable, sustainable and resilient urban infrastructure to meet Mumbai’s unique challenges, potential and scale. For example, Mumbaikars were very generally displeased with the reliability of public transportation, with 75% finding it increasingly stressful to use and 58% were willing to pay higher fares to support improvements. Some 82% of respondents supported the private sector taking a greater role in infrastructure development. Mumbaikars were also more engaged with the government, which is promoting interaction via social media and mobile channels, than the other major cities surveyed — particularly Shanghai, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto.

For the citizens of Mumbai, smarter, faster, sustainable infrastructure is a priority alongside improving environmental sustainability (e.g. recycling, wastewater re-use and solar power). The other focus areas highlighted are upgrading public transportation and upgrading utilities. Interestingly citizens want to have greater private sector involvement in infrastructure development and are willing to participate at individual levels to help the city’s authorities/agencies improve the city’s infrastructure.

AECOM has extensive experience improving the city’s infrastructure, for example, through the construction of Mumbai Metro Line 3, Mumbai Trans Harbour Link, Navi Mumbai Airport, Mumbai Coastal Road, etc. But the majority of the respondents are not satisfied with the reliability of public transportation, with 75% finding it increasingly stressful to use. Residents were, however, willing to pay higher fares to support improvements.

Mumbai, one of the largest metropolitan areas (666.4 square kilometers) in the world, is the capital city of the state of Maharashtra, India. The city is the commercial capital of India, and home to the biggest port in India. Mumbai is also considered as one of the top 20 centers of commerce in terms of global financial flow, contributing significantly to India’s GDP. The Mumbai Metropolitan Region is the second most populous metropolitan area in India, with a population of 21.8 million as of 2016. Studies have also placed Mumbai as one of the top 20 tertiary cities in the world.

Mumbai is heading in the right direction, providing the framework that the city needs. The Global Metro Monitor report also ranked Mumbai 23rd overall on its ‘Economic Performance Index of the world’s 300 largest metro areas,’ reinforcing Mumbai’s position as an emerging world city.

In our Future of Infrastructure survey, Mumbai scores clearly indicate that they need an upgradable, sustainable and resilient urban infrastructure to meet Mumbai’s unique challenges, potential and scale. For example, Mumbaikars were generally displeased with the reliability of public transportation, with 76% finding it increasingly stressful to use and 58% were willing to pay higher fares to support transport improvements. Some 82% of respondents supported the private sector taking a greater role in infrastructure development. Mumbaikars were also more engaged with the government, which is promoting interaction via social media and mobile channels, than the other major cities surveyed — particularly Shanghai, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto.
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In 2016, the government framed a Comprehensive Mobility Plan (CMP) to provide seamless connectivity throughout the region. In our survey 60% of Mumbai residents cited public transport as their primary method of transportation within the city compared to 94% in Hong Kong, 84% in Singapore and 70% in London.

The survey found that the majority (54%) feel that they have a ‘good/excellent’ ability to get to where they need to go and 42% think the on-time reliability of public transport is ‘overall good/excellent’. But 70% — the highest percentage among the cities, alongside Hong Kong — find it increasingly stressful to travel on public transportation.

It is notable that, second to Riyadh (66%), 51% of Mumbai respondents feel government usually makes the right decisions about which large infrastructure projects to fund. Some 47% feel that large-scale transportation projects were usually completed on schedule. At the same time, 69% said that city officials tend to take a short-term view of infrastructure planning.

Mumbaikars identify roads (29%) and water infrastructure (21%) as their two most important public spending priorities for the future. This focus on water is, perhaps, unsurprising. Many respondents had issues in terms of the reliability of their utilities. Around 38% had experienced an outage or restricted supply of water from their providers on more than four occasions, while 50% had more than four power cuts to their residence or those of a neighbor. Yet, the majority of respondents agreed that water and electricity services were affordable (49% and 45%, respectively).

Interestingly, 52% of Mumbaikars (highest among the cities) agreed that the private sector should be more involved in the development of infrastructure. Endeavors, therefore, to improve city’s infrastructure are likely to be welcomed.

In the last 12 months, a large majority (76%) had the opportunity to provide feedback to a public transportation provider, while 70% (the highest percentage of all 10 cities) said that requests for feedback about infrastructure improvements or investments come too late in the planning stage for their influence to be meaningful.

More than half of Mumbaikars surveyed (57% — highest of all 10 cities) agreed that city officials were clear about submission procedures when requesting citizen feedback about public infrastructure issues.

When asked about engagement channels, many Mumbaikars agreed that city planning authorities are making it easier to engage with them via social media (60%) and mobile channels (51%), followed by Riyadh — social media (57%) and mobile channels (59%).

In the last 12 months, the top two issues which Mumbaikars had the opportunity to provide feedback on were billing issues (40%) and the price of services (36%). Some 70% of respondents also think that changes in elected city officials often result in major changes to infrastructure policy. This is the highest percentage among all 10 cities and followed by Sydney (54%), Toronto (54%) and Riyadh (51%).

https://www.hindustantimes.com/mumbai/urban-mobility-plan-to-focus-on-citys-rapidly-growing-areas/story-xk7u2uZ9M6oQ1qyfTH.html

More than half of Mumbaikars surveyed (the highest percentage of all 10 cities) agreed that city officials were clear about submission procedures when requesting citizen feedback about public infrastructure issues.
SOME 78% OF MUMBAIKARS (THE HIGHEST PERCENTAGE AMONG ALL 10 CITIES) SAID THAT THEY USE AT LEAST ONE MOBILE APP TO STAY UPDATED ON THE STATUS OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT.

Emerging technologies, such as virtual/augmented reality and driverless vehicles were perceived to have the smallest potential impact.

Mumbai respondents identified improving environmental sustainability (e.g. recycling, wastewater re-use and solar power) as the most important improvement to infrastructure for them in the future. This was followed, in order of priority, by upgrading public transportation and upgrading utilities.

A large majority (75%) of the respondents are able to pay for water, power, public transportation, other utilities or public municipal services using a mobile phone or tablet app. This success is the result of the Digital India program, a flagship national government program with the vision to transform India into a digitally empowered society and knowledge economy.  

Some 78% of Mumbaikars (the highest percentage among all 10 cities) said that they use at least one mobile app to stay updated on the status of public transportation, and 68% are willing to share their personal data with relevant city agencies to help improve city infrastructure services.

More than any other city, with Riyadh as a close second (54%), the majority of Mumbaikars (56%) have viewed an infrastructure plan made available by city authorities in the last 12 months.

Mumbai’s new Development Plan 2034 was recently unveiled with a lot of fanfare. This plan is expected to change the landscape of India’s financial capital to rival that of London or New York. It is also evident that the government is making efforts to increase the amount of green space in the city, with 52% agreeing that the amount of open green space has expanded in the last two years.

http://www.digitalindia.gov.in
http://economictimes.indiatimes.com/topic/Mumbai-Development-Plan-2034
1,088 of Mumbai’s citizens were surveyed and said...

**Mumbai At a Glance**

**Infrastructure is Everyone’s Business**

**Mumbai Cares About Transportation Infrastructure**

**Mumbai Priorities for Improvement**

**Private Sector Involvement**

**Future Proofing the City**

**Future Improvements to Help the City Move Forward**

---

The analysis is based on a survey of 1,088 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age, and income.

---

**Mumbai Cares About Transportation Infrastructure**

- **51%** of Mumbai’s citizens feel the government usually makes the right decisions about which large infrastructure projects to fund.

- **69%** said that city officials tend to take a short-term view of infrastructure planning.

**Private Sector Involvement**

- **82%** of Mumbaikars agree that the private sector should be more involved in the development of infrastructure.

**Future Proofing the City**

1. Solar power.
2. Fiber-optic broadband.
3. Fast rail connections to airports.
London

A GLOBAL LEADER, WITH A COLORFUL PAST, VIBRANT CULTURE AND GROWING POPULATION, AMBITIOUS FOR ITS FUTURE

Introduction

London is a city that has always been defined by its openness — to people, investment and ideas. We see this openness running throughout the London-focused results of AECOM’s second global Future of Infrastructure report. For this research, we asked over 1,000 people in London for their views on city infrastructure and their ambitions for its future evolution. What we found is that citizens recognize the innovative steps city leaders are taking to bring London’s infrastructure up-to-date, for example, revolutionizing how we plan and pay for our travel.  

But they also understand the challenges that the city’s transport systems and utilities face. They are keen for action to build a greener, safer, digitally driven and better-connected London equipped to deliver better infrastructure.

For Londoners, smarter, faster, better infrastructure is a team effort. Citizens want to have more say on infrastructure issues such as planning. They’re open to greater private sector involvement in infrastructure development and also show a willingness to pay higher taxes to fund infrastructure improvements, for example, in return for better quality, more reliable and resilient systems. AECOM has extensive experience of working in London and other major global cities. With our network of planners, designers, engineers and management professionals, we have the knowledge and reach to develop and deliver innovative infrastructure solutions that improve lives and connect communities.

David Barwell
Chief Executive,
UK and Ireland, AECOM

London is in an elite club: it’s one of only two cities in the world to be given an Alpha ++ global ranking by the leading ranking organization, Globalization and World Rankings Research Institute. The other is New York. London’s competitive edge is built around its openness, connectivity, flexibility and diverse population.

To continue its success, London must think big. International influence in moving eastwards and London needs to secure its place in a post-Brexit world. The city, along with the rest of the U.K., also faces a series of tough challenges around housing, employment, the environment and delivery of infrastructure. The city’s ambitions to remain a world leader are unequivocal, and Londoners recognize the need for greater collaboration, smarter thinking and engagement to realise them.

Big plans, but room for improvement

Greater sustainability, digital resilience and transport connections — these are the three top infrastructure issues topping Londoners’ future wish lists, and align with the mayor’s ambitions for a greener, smarter city.

Reinforcing #LondonIsOpen to investment and collaboration, 59% of citizens agreed that the private sector should be more involved in infrastructure development. Although many find London’s transport systems and utilities to be reliable and affordable, other highlight challenges. Nearly two-thirds of our survey respondents agree that using the city’s public transport is becoming more stressful.

Citizens don’t think that the policies involved in infrastructure helps or that their voice counts. But they are prepared to play their part. 90% agree that they would be willing to pay higher taxes to fund infrastructure improvements and 47% would be happy to share their personal data with city authorities to deliver better infrastructure.

The Future of Infrastructure

What is residents’ experience of innovative technologies in their city?

How confident do residents feel about their city’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, cyberattacks, terrorism and other external hazards?

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index: scores on satisfaction with infrastructure services from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 16 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Beijing, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands.
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39% of London respondents would be willing to pay higher taxes to fund improvements to infrastructure overall.

Satisfaction

The vast majority of Londoners we spoke to (70%) use public transport as their primary method of transportation. But 60% are finding it increasingly stressful to travel that way.

High fares, busy trains and delays could be contributing to some people’s dissatisfaction.1, 2 60% of respondents think that public transport in London is uncomfortable, showing the most concern — alongside Riyadh — about this issue compared to other major cities. London Mayor Sadiq Khan is taking steps to address this, freezing TfL fares for the third year in a row, and introducing new weekly capping to help Oyster card users.

Almost one-quarter (23%) of citizens rate the reliability of public transport in London as “poor/very poor”. And only 25% of respondents overall would be willing to pay even higher fares for public transportation in the future.

London’s roads are also a concern. 75% believe that the state of the roads has worsened or not changed in the last 12 months. Crucially, 39% of London respondents would be willing to pay higher taxes to fund improvements to infrastructure overall.

Of the 10 major cities surveyed, London ranked third (behind Hong Kong and Singapore) in terms of citizens’ satisfaction with infrastructure. But a closer look highlights some room for improvement.

Most respondents found their water and electricity services to be affordable (58% and 47% respectively) and reliable (63% — no water outages/56% — no power cuts). Fewer than a quarter, however, feel that the service they’ve received from their water (22%) and electricity (23%) providers has got better in the past year.

Some respondents faced major problems: 11% said that they or a neighbour had experienced three or more power cuts to their home in the past 12 months, with 12% of users citing three or more instances of water outages or restricted supplies to their property across the year.

Crucially, 39% of London respondents feel that city officials are not clear about the ways to submit their views on infrastructure. And, crucially, 59% of those questioned think that, when they are asked to feedback, it is too late in the process for their voice to be heard or to have an impact.

Giving people more interactive ways to engage (e.g. via virtual reality, interactive puzzles and digital channels) could help, with 39% of respondents saying that city authorities are making it easier for them to contribute through social media.

A perceived lack of engagement, along with recent controversies surrounding some proposed projects such as the Garden Bridge, could be informing Londoners’ dissatisfaction with infrastructure management and delivery.3

This perceived lack of engagement, along with recent controversies surrounding some proposed projects such as the Garden Bridge, could be informing Londoners’ dissatisfaction with infrastructure management and delivery.4

Some 49% of respondents think that changes in elected city officials often result in major changes to infrastructure policy. Fewer than one in three feel that those in power are making the right decisions about which projects to fund.

More than one-half of respondents (52%) believe that city officials take a short-term view of infrastructure planning, with 55% stating that large-scale transportation projects in the capital are usually delivered late.

London is not alone in thinking like this. A similar picture in Sydney, Los Angeles, New York, Toronto, Hong Kong and Chicago. And many Londoners (57%) agree that the private sector should be more involved in infrastructure development. The inference being that this could help to improve things.

55% Over half say that they haven’t had the chance to feedback on transport services during the past year.

49% 49 percent of respondents feel that changes in elected city officials often result in major changes to infrastructure policy.

50 percent of respondents find public transportation increasingly stressful to travel.
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INNOVATION

The good news is that Londoners’ priorities for improvements broadly line up with Mayor Khan’s agenda, emphasizing greater connectivity and sustainability across the city.

Upgrading public transportation features as one of citizens’ top three priorities for improvements — ahead of upgrading utilities and just behind improving environmental sustainability. Londoners also identified fast rail connections to airports as one of the top three technologies that will have the biggest positive impact on their quality of life — underlining London’s position as a global city.

In terms of innovation, London believes it has a head start. As London’s Oyster card celebrates its 15th birthday, more Londoners (45%) agree that they have innovative payment options available to them for public transport than those who disagree (17%). In addition, 58% of Londoners now use mobile apps to keep up-to-date with public transport services.

And, in a digitally driven future with London’s infrastructure evolving to become smarter, the city’s citizens recognize the importance of fast fiber-optic broadband for their quality of life.

Like other major cities, London faces a number of environmental challenges, including poor air quality and noise pollution, protecting its green spaces and the ever-growing impact of climate change. Reflecting this, citizens are focused on building a greener city. When asked, respondents identified improving environmental sustainability, upgrading water and power utilities and protecting against the impact of natural disasters to be among the most necessary improvements to infrastructure for their future.

Citizens also considered solar power and smart (digital) electricity meters in the home to be two of the top four technologies likely to have the biggest positive impact on their quality of life in the years ahead. Many Londoners also recognize the important role data will play in delivering smarter, better infrastructure to cities, and 47% say they are happy to share their personal data with relevant city agencies to help improve things.

IN TERMS OF INNOVATION, LONDON IS PERCEIVED TO HAVE A HEAD START. IT IS ONE OF THE LEADING CITIES OFFERING CITIZENS INNOVATIVE WAYS TO PAY FOR THEIR TRANSPORT JOURNEYS WITHIN AND BEYOND THE CAPITAL.

RESILIENCE

The challenges facing London are ever changing. In 2017 alone, there was a series of high-profile and deadly attacks. Throughout it all, Londoners have worked hard to keep the city going, but the challenges of keeping safe are ever present in their minds. Understanding the risks of being a global city, they want and need their city to be prepared.

For them, the biggest threat to infrastructure now is cyberattacks. The threat you can’t see, with 42% of London residents not confident in their city’s ability to protect them against such an event — second only to Chicago in terms of their perception of this risk.

This reinforces what infrastructure professionals told us last year, when 70% of respondents in our 2018 Future of Infrastructure report felt that it is fairly likely or almost certain that hackers will disrupt the transportation network.

Another big concern is climate change. Some 40% of respondents believe their city government is failing behind other cities when it comes to sustainability. And over a third of citizens (37%) are not confident in the capital’s ability to protect them against natural disasters, such as flooding — underscoring the priority respondents place on investing in infrastructure and technologies that mitigate these risks.

This is a common belief across the major cities surveyed, with many feeling like their governments were falling behind on sustainability.

42 percent of London residents are not confident in their city’s ability to protect them against such an event — second only to Chicago in terms of their perception of this risk.

42 percent of London residents are not confident in their city’s ability to protect them against such an event — second only to Chicago in terms of their perception of this risk.

58% of Londoners now use mobile apps to keep up-to-date with public transport services.
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1,118 OF LONDON’S CITIZENS WERE SURVEYED AND SAID...

LONDON AT A GLANCE
LONDONERS CARE ABOUT INFRASTRUCTURE

IMPROVING ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

- 40% feel that their city government lags behind other cities in sustainability.  
- Only 7% of respondents said they had had the opportunity to feedback on environmental sustainability in the past 12 months.

UPGRADING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

- 60% agree that using public transport in London is becoming more stressful.  
- 39% of Londoners are willing to pay higher taxes to help fund infrastructure improvements.

UPGRADING LONDON UTILITIES (WATER/POWER)

- Fewer than a quarter of respondents feel that the service from their water (22%) and power (23%) providers has got better in the last year.

LONDONERS WANT THEIR SAY
LONDON’S DECISION MAKERS

- 57% of respondents agree that the private sector should be more involved in the development of infrastructure.
- 36% of respondents say that city authorities are making it easier for them to engage through social media.
- 49% think changes in elected officials often result in major changes to infrastructure policy.
- 52% believe city officials tend to take a short-term view of infrastructure planning.

TECHNOLOGY MATTERS
LONDON’S VIEW ON WHAT TECHNOLOGIES WILL IMPROVE THEIR QUALITY OF LIFE

1. Fiber-optic broadband.  
2. Solar power.  
3. Fast rail connections to airports.  

The analysis is based on a survey of 1,118 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
Introduction
Singapore's Asia's buzzing metropolis and global center for living and business, innovation and talent. Renowned for its robust economy, highly educated workforce, excellent global connectivity and high standard of living, it is constantly evolving and reinventing itself.

In AECOM's second global Future of Infrastructure report, we asked over 1,000 people in Singapore for their views on city infrastructure and their expectations for its future evolution. We found that citizens see the need for cities to be more connected and safer, digitally driven and better-connected Singapore to secure future success.

In terms of innovation, Singapore is at the forefront of digitization and becoming a cashless society, with a large majority of citizens paying for utilities and transportation via internet/mobile banking. AECOM has extensive experience of working in Singapore and other major global cities. With our talent pool of planners, designers, engineers and other infrastructure-related professionals, we have the knowledge and capabilities to develop and deliver innovative infrastructure solutions that improve lives and connect communities.

Billy Wong
Regional Executive, South East Asia, AECOM

62.0% of respondents felt that the private sector should take a greater role in infrastructure development.

Building a more sustainable, connected and resilient nation
Singaporeans indicated that they want a more sustainable, connected and resilient city, which aligns with the government's goals of promoting a Smart Nation.²

Some 62.0% of respondents felt that the private sector should take a greater role in infrastructure development. Singaporeans were generally displeased with the reliability of the current public transportation system, with 58.0% finding it increasingly stressful to travel via public transportation and 59.0% saying that they would not be willing to pay higher fares for public transportation. Singaporeans were generally less willing to pay higher fares for public transportation, with 58.0% saying that they would not be willing to pay higher fares for public transportation.

Topping Mercer's list of Asian cities offering the highest quality of life, Singapore also ranks first worldwide for the ease of doing business by the World Bank, since 2012.¹ Singapore's robust economy, highly educated workforce, excellent connectivity, and high standard of living offer businesses the ideal environment to invest with confidence.

The government aims to create "An Endearing Home and a Distinctive Global City" by developing world-class infrastructure and creating a vibrant and sustainable living environment. The government seeks to increase connectivity and engagement with the people and private sector by driving digital innovation via the Smart Nation initiative.²
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The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses to selected questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands:
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Satisfaction

84% of Singaporeans use public transport as their primary mode of transportation, of which 55% take the subway. But 58% find it increasingly stressful to travel via public transportation.

High fares and delays could play a role in people’s dissatisfaction. A total of 22% rated overall public transportation as unaffordable, while 20% rated public transportation reliability as ‘poor.’ Around 18% of the respondents are willing to pay higher fares for public transportation. Some 22% are also willing to pay higher taxes to fund improvements in infrastructure.

Less than half of the respondents (45%) think that the city government usually makes the right decisions about which large-scale infrastructure projects to fund, hence indicating that the majority of Singaporeans are dissatisfied with the decision-making process. As such, most Singaporeans (62%) agree that the private sector should be more involved in infrastructure development.

The minority of Singaporean respondents agreed that water and electricity services were affordable (42% and 35%, respectively). However, most concurred on the reliability of both services (79% experienced no water outages and 61% no power cuts).

Less than one-third of Singaporeans felt that the service they have received from their water (30%) and electricity (28%) providers has got better in the last 12 months. For the vast majority of users (61% and electricity — 60%, respectively), these services have remained about the same as the previous year.

The large majority, 84 percent, use public transportation as their primary mode of transportation.

Engagement

Promoting interactions via social media and mobile channels could increase engagement, with a significant number of Singaporeans agreeing that city planning authorities are making it easier to engage with them via social media (44%) and mobile channels (40%).

In the last 12 months, the top two issues which Singaporeans gave feedback on were price of services (18%) and billing issues (17%).

In addition, 42% of respondents think that changes in elected city officials often result in major changes to infrastructure policy.

The large majority, 64 percent, did not have the opportunity to provide feedback to a public transportation provider, and 36% said that public transportation providers had not interacted with them.

Less than half of Singaporeans surveyed (46%) did not have the opportunity to provide feedback to a public transportation provider, and 36% said that public transportation providers had not interacted with them.
When asked about the importance of various infrastructure improvements, Singaporeans listed upgrading public transportation as the most important, reinforcing the citizens’ focus for a reliable and efficient public transport network to improve their quality of life.

The next most important improvement was increasing environmental sustainability, suggesting that the citizens were aware of the importance of conserving the world’s resources and protecting the environment. The third most important was protection against cyberattacks.

In terms of innovation, Singapore is at the forefront of digitization and becoming a cashless society. A large majority (67%) of the respondents are able to use internet banking to pay for public transportation, water, power, other utilities or public municipal services, while more than half (55%) can use their mobile phones to do so. Some 42% of those surveyed are willing to share their personal data with relevant city agencies to help improve city infrastructure services.

However, most Singaporeans are not aware of the plans made by city authorities, as 73% said that in the last 12 months, they had not viewed an infrastructure plan made available by city authorities.

With increasing mobile connectivity worldwide, Singaporeans indicated that fiber-optic broadband is the technology that will have the largest positive impact on their quality of life, while virtual augmented reality was identified to have smallest potential impact.

Some 59% of Singaporeans said that they use one or more mobile apps to stay updated on public transport issues.

Singaporeans recognize the importance of data in helping to deliver innovative solutions and smarter infrastructure. Around 42% of those surveyed are willing to share their personal data with relevant city agencies to help improve city infrastructure services.

Increasing digitization and technological advancements bring about more challenges to cyber and personal security. In recent months, there has been a rise in the number of cybersecurity infringements and hackings in Singapore.

For citizens, cybersecurity seems to be the biggest perceived threat to infrastructure resilience, compared to terrorism and natural disasters. Only one-third of the respondents (36%) are confident that the city government is able to protect infrastructure from cyberattacks. This is lower than in the case of natural disasters or terrorism, where 43% and 54% of the respondents, respectively, gave their vote of confidence.

For citizens, cybersecurity seems to be the biggest perceived threat to infrastructure resilience, compared to terrorism and natural disasters. Only one-third of the respondents (36%) are confident that the city government is able to protect infrastructure from cyberattacks. This is lower than in the case of natural disasters or terrorism, where 43% and 54% of the respondents, respectively, gave their vote of confidence.
SINGAPORE AT A GLANCE
INFRASTRUCTURE IS EVERYONE’S BUSINESS

1,109 OF SINGAPORE’S CITIZENS WERE SURVEYED AND SAID...

ONGOING IMPROVEMENTS IN PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM

SINGAPOREANS CARE ABOUT PUBLIC TRANSPORT

84 percent of Singapore’s citizens use public transportation as their primary mode of transportation.

58 percent find it stressful to travel via public transportation.

49 percent rated overall public transportation as affordable.

59 percent of the respondents are not willing to pay higher fares for public transportation.

48 percent are also unwilling to pay higher taxes to fund improvements in infrastructure.

TECHNOLOGY MATTERS
TECHNOLOGY-ENABLED LIVING

Which of these technologies will have the biggest impact on your life:

1. Fiber-optic broadband. 97 percent are able to use innovative ways, including online and mobile payments, to pay for public transportation, water and power.

2. Solar power. 42 percent said that the main provider of transportation makes available innovative payment options.

3. Mobile payment channels. 44 percent of Singapore citizens agree that the city planning authorities are making it easier to interact with them through social media.

INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE
A MORE SUSTAINABLE AND RESILIENT NATION

63 percent of the respondents (highest percentage among all other cities) indicated that they had been informed of emergency drills or exercises.

54 percent of respondents agreeing that the amount of open green space has expanded in the last two years.

45 percent feel that the government lags behind other cities in implementing environmentally sustainable practices.

The analysis is based on a survey of 1,019 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
Driven by Vision 2030, Saudi Arabia is undergoing a major economic and social reform program to reduce its reliance on oil revenues and government spending. Key to its success is attracting private sector investment and nurturing an entrepreneurial environment, as well as ensuring plentiful and appealing public services. Riyadh, the country’s financial and administrative capital, is at the heart of this vision and well positioned to achieve these goals. Its residents have confidence in Riyadh Municipality’s ability, but want to be more involved in decisions to improve the city’s infrastructure.

A young, transforming city
Riyadh stands out in four areas: eagerness for private sector involvement in infrastructure development, the unaffordability of electricity, reliance on personal cars and taxis, and confidence in the city’s resilience.

Aligning with Vision 2030, 78% of citizens responded in favor of more private sector involvement in infrastructure development, second only to Mumbai (82%).

With 73% of citizens stating electricity bills are unaffordable, most likely a result of the government subsidy removal and introduction of VAT on electricity bills in 2018, Riyadh citizens are around twice as unhappy about their energy prices as the overall average percentage.

Respondents reported the lowest use of public transport of all cities surveyed — just 5% use buses as their primary mode of transport. Comparatively, 70% are dependent on their own car and 17% on taxis to move around the city.

In terms of resilience, the Riyadh respondents were the most positive about their city’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, cyberattacks, terrorism and other external hazards.

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses to selected questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands:

- **Very satisfied**: 10–7.6
- **Moderately satisfied**: 7.5–5.1
- **Not very satisfied**: 5.0–2.6
- **Dissatisfied**: 2.5–1.0

The scores in the table below reflect the average of these scores for each city, with Riyadh being the capital of Saudi Arabia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City Ranking</th>
<th>Satisfaction</th>
<th>Engagement</th>
<th>Innovation</th>
<th>Resilience</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>4.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/10</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>4.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Introduction**

Saudi Arabia is undergoing a once-in-a-lifetime social transformation with Riyadh playing a central role. The capital has a young and rapidly-expanding population that is open to investment, new technologies and having a greater say in infrastructure decisions that affect the city. These traits we see reflected again and again in the Riyadh-focused results of AECOM’s second global Future of Infrastructure report.

As part of this research, we asked 980 people in Riyadh for their views on the city’s infrastructure and their hopes for its evolution. We found that the citizens recognize the steps being taken to improve the city’s transportation provision, namely through the construction of Riyadh Metro and the modernization of King Khalid International Airport. But they are frustrated by the cost of utility bills and want to see more environmentally sustainable solutions to the city’s infrastructure challenges.

Crucially, for the citizens of Riyadh, smarter, faster, better infrastructure is a team effort. Citizens want to have more say on infrastructure improvements earlier in the planning stage. They’ve been for greater private sector involvement in infrastructure development and are willing to share their personal data to help the city’s agencies improve public services.

AECOM has extensive experience working in Riyadh and other major global cities. With our network of planners, designers, engineers and management professionals, we have the knowledge and reach to develop and deliver innovative infrastructure solutions that improve lives and connect communities.

**Hamed Zaghw**
Chief Executive, Middle East and Africa, AECOM

**Ivan Laslo**
President, AECOM Arabia

78% of citizens responded in favor of more private sector involvement in infrastructure development.

**RUH RYADH**

UNDERGOING A ONCE-IN-A-LIFETIME TRANSFORMATION, RIYADH MUST LISTEN TO ITS YOUTH TO SECURE ITS FUTURE ON THE GLOBAL STAGE

**SATISFACTION**

**ENGAGEMENT**

**INNOVATION**

**RESILIENCE**

**OVERALL**
Satisfaction

Residents are recognizing the efforts of Riyadh’s infrastructure planners and operators to enhance their services. In particular, 72% of citizens are happier than 12 months ago with the service provided by airports, while 60% note an improvement in roads and bridges.

King Khalid International Airport in Riyadh is undergoing significant expansion and modernization to support the capital’s industrial and economic growth. These enhancements, combined with an improved customs process, have no doubt led to citizens’ positive opinion.

Riyadh’s rapid population growth and heavy reliance on roads to move people and goods have put pressure on Riyadh’s road network. In response, the authorities commissioned several infrastructure improvements involving the construction of ring roads, tunnels and bridges. While some roads are still congested, road safety, connectivity and journey times are being enhanced and are clearly appreciated.

Interestingly, 46% report an improvement in rail/subway service (versus 11% of the opposite opinion). This is despite Riyadh Metro not yet being complete. Given that 66% of citizens surveyed are confident their city government makes the right decisions about which large-scale infrastructure investments to fund, and the highly visible Riyadh Metro project, our view is that citizens are upbeat about the progress being made with the city-wide metro network and confident it will benefit them.

In terms of utilities, 44% of citizens surveyed reported an improvement in the electricity infrastructure (versus 24% who feel it has worsened) and 50% described the water provision as better than 12 months ago (versus 15% who feel it has worsened). Apart from Mumbai, the satisfaction levels of Riyadh citizens for its water and power infrastructure outrank the other cities surveyed.

Engagement

Riyadh has the youngest population of all cities surveyed; almost 60% of its residents are aged under 35. Spanning Millennials and Generation X, this group is highly active on social media. The city’s planning and public transport providers are using this channel to good effect according to the survey results — only 13% of citizens disagreed that the city planning authorities make it easy for them to interact with them through social media. Similarly, this was the medium most commonly used by Riyadh’s public transport providers over the last 12 months to engage citizens, 32% report interaction through this channel.

The Riyadh authorities are well ahead of their counterparts in all but one of the cities surveyed regarding making it easy for citizens to respond through mobile channels (apps, SMS). On average, Riyadh citizens have had 1.6 opportunities over the last year to provide feedback to a public transportation provider. Slightly above average (1.2) for the cities surveyed, the Riyadh figure (1.6) is double that of Singapore and Sydney (0.8).

Some 48% of citizens agree that the public authorities communicate clearly how citizens can submit feedback on infrastructure issues, 15% disagree. However, only 14% of citizens feel that feedback requests come at the appropriate time in the planning stage to be meaningful. While this feeling reflects other cities’ findings, Riyadh fares the worst.

Riyadh respondents, however, did have more opportunities than most of the other cities’ citizens to provide feedback on public infrastructure issues over the last year. The top four issues for feedback were billing and payments, price of services, use of new technologies, and methods of communication with customers. Unfortunately, these don’t correlate with the top three infrastructure improvements that citizens would like to see — upgrading of utilities, upgrading of public transportation and improving environmental sustainability (i.e. recycling, solar power).
Resilience

Of the cities surveyed, Riyadh citizens have the highest confidence in their city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, cyberattacks and terrorism. Just over half (51%) advised that they had been informed of drills organized in the past two years to prepare for emergency situations — compared with the all-city average (39%). Flooding is Riyadh’s main natural disaster threat. The city’s rapid urbanization, population growth, lack of natural drainage outlets and impervious road surfaces have led to flash flooding — causing significant damage and disruption as well as loss of life. New dams, which capture seasonal rains, are being developed to help deal with this, and several parks and recreational spaces created surrounding them, such as Wadi Hanifah. Citizens appear to recognize these efforts — registering the highest levels of confidence among the surveyed cities’ respondents in their city authority’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters (only 25% responded negatively), while 64% also agree or strongly agree that the amount of green space in Riyadh has expanded in the last two years.

Compared to previous rankings in several world’s safest city lists, 69% of Riyadh citizens told us they are confident in their city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from terrorism. This is significantly higher than the other major cities surveyed, including Toronto (30%) — which appears almost 100 places above Riyadh in SafeAround’s World’s Safest Cities ranking.3 Saudi Arabia takes national security threats very seriously. Its firm approach and defense budget — which is the third largest in the world — no doubt boosts citizens’ confidence in their country’s ability to manage terrorist attacks.

In line with Vision 2030, Saudi Arabia has been fast tracking digital-infrastructure advancements to support related economic sectors and start-ups, and also announced plans to train 800 government employees as cybersecurity specialists.4 Riyadh citizens again recognize these developments, with the majority confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from cyberattacks.

Innovation

Around 85% of Riyadh citizens reported that mobile payments are offered by their city government agencies and utility providers, 9% above the global city average. Another highly recognized public service offered in Riyadh is the feed-in electricity tariffs. Of the 980 Riyadh citizens surveyed, 48% recall this service being offered — the highest of all cities surveyed and three times the percentage reported by U.S. citizens.

When comparing the public services or technologies offered in Riyadh that score the lowest against the other cities surveyed, it’s evident that Riyadh is lagging behind in offering technologies that improve environmental sustainability. Riyadh scores well below other cities in the following areas: electric car battery charging stations, waste recycling and carpooling.

In terms of which technologies will have the biggest impact on their quality of life, Riyadh citizens share very similar opinions to those of the other cities surveyed. Solar power, fast rail connections to the airport and fiber-optic broadband all appear in the top three technologies in varying orders of priority for Riyadh, Mumbai, Sydney, London, Los Angeles and New York.

Sharing personal data is an activity that most people universally are reluctant to do. In Riyadh however, only 23% of citizens would be unhappy to share their personal data with relevant city agencies to help them improve city infrastructure or public services. This suggests great confidence among Riyadh citizens in their city’s authorities to protect their data, as well as a desire to contribute to infrastructure improvements.

ONLY 23% OF CITIZENS WOULD BE UNHAPPY TO SHARE THEIR PERSONAL DATA WITH RELEVANT CITY AGENCIES TO HELP THEM IMPROVE CITY INFRASTRUCTURE OR PUBLIC SERVICES.
RIYADH: THE LOWEST USE OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT
CITIZENS TRAVEL BY ROAD

- 5% use buses as their primary mode of transport.
- 46% report an improvement in rail/subway service.
- 70% are dependent on their own car.
- 17% rely on taxis to move around the city.
- 60% note an improvement in roads and bridges.

RIYADH’S IMPROVED INFRASTRUCTURE SATISFIED CITIZENS?

- 72% of citizens are happier than they were 12 months ago with the service provided by airports.
- 60% note an improvement in roads and bridges.
- 75% of citizens state electricity bills are unaffordable.
- 44% of citizens surveyed reported an improvement in the electricity infrastructure.
- 50% described the water provision as better than 12 months ago.

PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT
ALIGNING TO THE VISION 2030

- 78% of citizens responded in favor of more private sector involvement in the development of infrastructure.
- 51% advised that they had been informed of drills organized in the past two years to prepare for emergency situations.

INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE
A RESILIENT NATION

- #1 The most positive city in its ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, cyberattacks and terrorism.
- 69% of people told us they are confident in their city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from terrorism.
- 51% advised that they had been informed of drills organized in the past two years to prepare for emergency situations.

The analysis is based on a survey of 980 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
Hong Kong is a Special Administrative Region of the People’s Republic of China. With a perfect fusion of oriental and western features, Hong Kong has become a world-class financial, trading and business center. It has a population of about 7.4 million, with the distinction of having one of the world’s highest rates of urban density as well as some of the world’s highest life expectancies at birth. Over the last several decades, Hong Kong has been dealing with one of the great challenges of its history — the task of providing new, modern housing and infrastructure for millions of people.

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses to surveys conducted among 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Munich, Singapore, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are all based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands:

- **Very satisfied**: 10–7.6
- **Moderately satisfied**: 7.5–5.1
- **Not very satisfied**: 5.0–2.6
- **Dissatisfied**: 2.5–1.0

**Introduction**

With over 7.4 million inhabiting a land area of just 1,097 square kilometers, Hong Kong is one of the world’s most densely populated cities, each square kilometer accommodating over 6,800 citizens on average. Meeting the needs for mobility, economic productivity, livability and sustainability has propelled the city to envision and realize infrastructure feats, garnering it has propelled the city to envision and realize infrastructure feats, garnering it No. 1 for infrastructure competitiveness in the World Economic Forum’s Competitiveness Report 2017–2018. With forecasted population growth over 6,800 citizens on average. Meeting the needs for mobility, economic productivity, livability and sustainability has propelled the city to envision and realize infrastructure feats, garnering it No. 1 for infrastructure competitiveness in the World Economic Forum’s Competitiveness Report 2017–2018.

With nearly all of Hong Kong’s easily available land already used, providing new, modern housing and infrastructure for millions of people will be the biggest challenge. Despite its lauded public transportation system, travel is becoming increasingly stressful. With nearly all of Hong Kong’s easily available land already used, providing new, modern housing and infrastructure for millions of people will be the biggest challenge. Despite its lauded public transportation system, travel is becoming increasingly stressful. With nearly all of Hong Kong’s easily available land already used, providing new, modern housing and infrastructure for millions of people will be the biggest challenge. Despite its lauded public transportation system, travel is becoming increasingly stressful.

**Strong progress, but work to do**

Hong Kong ranks fifth out of the 10 cities in the overall index score (4.65), according to the survey. Citizens in Hong Kong have the highest satisfaction rates (7.5) for their infrastructure among all of the cities surveyed, while ranking joint fifth for its resilience score (5.5) with Chicago and Sydney. Hong Kong ranks last in the innovation (5.5) and joint last in the engagement on average (4.75) respectively, again with Chicago and Sydney. Our research reveals that citizens in Hong Kong generally are “very satisfied” with the city’s management of infrastructure and related services, but they expect to be more involved in infrastructure delivery and development. They are also eager to help the city move forward innovatively, with a number of respondents (40%) willing to share personal data with city agencies if it helps them improve city infrastructure and city services.

**Citizenship**

What is residents’ experience of core infrastructure — utilities, transport and structural and transportation (rail, bus, roads, ports, etc.) in the city where they live?

**Engagement**

How much do residents engage with city authorities and infrastructure providers?

**Resilience**

How confident do residents feel about their city’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, cyberattacks, terrorism and other external threats?

**Innovation**

What is residents’ experience of innovative technologies in their city?
Hong Kong residents are the happiest of those in our sample in terms of satisfaction, with the city securing the highest overall Satisfaction index score among the 10 cities (7.5), and scoring consistently well when it comes to the services citizens use most often — electricity, water and public transportation.

Along with London, Hong Kong also has the highest Transport Quality index score (6.5), outscoring the aggregate score (6.1). This score combines performance and reliability (averaged). When it comes to the affordability of public transportation fares, Hong Kong ranks third among the cities with a score of 6.4, behind Mumbai and Los Angeles.

With regards to utility affordability, the water supply (7.6) and power supply (6.7) in Hong Kong are considered the most affordable out of all 10 cities. Hong Kong’s Reliability index score of 9.0 also shows that it has the least reported electricity outages. At the same time, it ranks fourth regarding water utility reliability with a score of 8.5. Some 94% of Hong Kong respondents point out that their primary method of transportation is public transport — the highest percentage among all 10 cities. However, 75% believe using public transportation is becoming more stressful. Some 50% agree it is affordable and nearly two-thirds (63%) state that the public transportation they use is ‘good’ or ‘excellent’ at getting them wherever they need to be. Around 35% agree that public transportation is ‘good’ or ‘excellent’ in terms of its reliability, especially regarding its timeliness. But the majority (61%), however, state it is ‘acceptable’.

A total of 77% of Hong Kong respondents agree that their regular water bill is affordable, and 60% see their regular power bill as affordable. With a score of 9.0, Hong Kong has the highest index of electricity stability among all 10 cities, and 70% of the respondents claim they have not experienced a loss of power supply to their neighborhood in the past 12 months. Water stability ranks fourth among all cities, with 64% of citizens surveyed stating they have not experienced an outage or restricted supply of water in the past year.

More than half of the respondents (53%) think that the private sector should be more involved in the development of infrastructure. Speaking of government officials, 56% of the respondents in Hong Kong agree that it’s better for city officials to take a longer-term view of infrastructure planning. Many also believe that the city planning authorities are making it easier to interact with them through social media (43%) and mobile channels (apps, text/SMS) (44%). Yet, 52% of the respondents agree that they would prefer having a longer consultation period at the planning stage to respond with their views on infrastructure improvements or investments, so as to make their influence meaningful. In addition, 63% of respondents said that they were eager for more opportunities to provide feedback to a public transportation provider.
INNOVATION

Residents in all cities are broadly positive about the level of innovation in infrastructure and services, while Hong Kong scored 5.5 on this measure. In terms of car sharing/carpooling (e.g. Zipcar, car2go), the survey shows this service isn’t currently offered in Hong Kong, which contributes to pulling down Hong Kong’s score to below the average of 6.2, and also the lowest score of all cities.

Some 74% of respondents say fiber-optic broadband and waste recycling respectively are available, which is higher than average. Just over a quarter (28%) disagree that the main provider of public transportation makes available innovative payment options depending on time of day or usage — the highest rate among all cities, along with New York and Toronto.

Fiber-optic broadband is seen as the technology that will have the greatest impact on quality of life, followed by mobile payment channels. For daily usage, 40% agree that the main provider of public transportation makes available innovative payment options depending on time of day or usage, and 59% of them also uses one or more mobile apps to stay current on the status of public transport. In light of innovation, 40% of the respondents are open to share personal data with relevant city agencies to help them improve city infrastructure or infrastructure services.

FIBER-OPTIC BROADBAND IS SEEN AS THE TECHNOLOGY THAT WILL HAVE THE GREATEST IMPACT ON QUALITY OF LIFE, FOLLOWED BY MOBILE PAYMENT CHANNELS.

RESILIENCE

The aggregate score of 5.7 in resilience suggests some level of confidence with infrastructure resilience to natural disasters and terrorism, less so in terms of cyberattacks. Hong Kong’s score is below average for all the aspects — in terms of natural disasters, cyberattacks and terrorism — with an index score for resilience of 5.5, the same as Chicago and Sydney.

When it comes to the environment, 64% of the respondents believe the Hong Kong government could do better in fostering environmentally sustainable practices, 39% agree that the amount of open green space (e.g. parks and gardens) has expanded in the past two years, so too 33% of the respondents claim that they have been informed of drills or exercises that the city authorities have organized in the past two years to prepare for emergency situations.

The survey also suggests 38% of citizens are confident in government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters; 30% of citizens are confident in government to protect infrastructure from cyberattacks; while 36% are confident in government to protect infrastructure in terms of terrorism.

Respondents identified improving environmental sustainability (e.g. recycling, wastewater reuse, solar power), upgrading of public transportation, protection against the impacts of natural disasters (e.g. flood protection) and upgrading of utilities (water, power) as their top four (in order of priority) most important improvements to infrastructure for the future.
HONG KONG: THE HIGHEST USE OF PUBLIC TRANSPORT
CITIZENS TRAVEL BY PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

- 94% of Hong Kong respondents point out that their primary method of transportation is public transport.
- 75% believe using public transportation is becoming more stressful.
- 63% state that public transportation is able to get them wherever they need to be.
- 56% agree that transportation is reliable, especially regarding its timeliness.

HONG KONG WANT THEIR SAY
FEEDING BACK ON INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES

- 56% of respondents agree that it is better for city officials to take a longer-term view of infrastructure planning.
- 52% think that, when they are asked their views, they would prefer having a longer consultation period to make their influence meaningful.
- 63% of respondents said that they would prefer city officials to make opportunities to provide feedback to a public transportation provider more visible to them.

FUTURE PROOFING THE CITY
FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS TO HELP THE CITY MOVE FORWARD

- #1 Fiber-optic broadband.
- #2 Mobile payment channels.
- #3 Solar power.
- 40% of the respondents are open to share personal data with relevant city agencies to help them improve city infrastructure or infrastructure services.

The analysis is based on a survey of 1,031 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
Introduction

Los Angeles has long been a testing ground for innovative ideas and creativity. This appeal, combined with a moderate climate and ocean-to-mountain landscapes, may explain how LA has become a metropolis of nearly four million people in its relatively young history. Yet decades of attracting new people with new ideas has brought significant challenges to the city’s infrastructure. Increasing density, as well as climate change, have led to daunting and persistent water shortages, the ever-present threat of a major earthquake, demand for greater engagement in the demand for greater engagement in the process, and a willingness to invest in achieving long-term solutions.

Kelli Bernard
Executive Vice President, National Cities Leader, Design and Consulting Services, Americas, AECOM

LA

LOS ANGELES

INVESTING IN NEW INFRASTRUCTURE TO BUILD A SAFER, MORE SUSTAINABLE, MORE LIVABLE CITY

Opportunity for change

In a city known for its diversity, it is not surprising that Los Angeles residents have varying opinions about infrastructure. The city’s overall Infrastructure Satisfaction Index score of 4.01 suggests ample room for improvement and the average of all cities surveyed (4.12), and is slightly higher than scores from other North American cities represented. A deeper dive into the feedback reveals that Angelenos find common ground when it comes to improvements in public transportation, and secure and more resilient water resources. They share a desire for innovative technology, a demand for greater engagement in the process, and a willingness to invest in achieving long-term solutions.

Kelli Bernard
Executive Vice President, National Cities Leader, Design and Consulting Services, Americas, AECOM

Los Angeles is a city in motion. Mixed-use towers rise in the downtown skyline as traffic lanes and freeways extend their reach, the LA River begins its revitalization, and world-class sport venues open to much fanfare. But along with the promise of a bright future are the realities of a homelessness crisis, nation-high house prices, traffic, and infrastructure improvements needed to manage many large urban landscapes across the United States. Increasingly volatile cycles of drought and wildfires, and the ever-present threat of a major earthquake, demand that Angelenos prepare for resilience not only to prevent, but also to rebound from catastrophic events more effectively than ever before.

Opportunity for change

In a city known for its diversity, it is not surprising that Los Angeles residents have varying opinions about their city’s infrastructure. Increasing density, as well as climate change, have led to daunting and persistent water shortages, the ever-present threat of a natural disaster — from wildfires to landslides and the threat of a catastrophic earthquake — along with traffic congestion that has become as much an LA icon as the towering palm trees.

These issues demand innovative and eco-friendly solutions that will lead to long-term success. More than 1,100 Los Angeles residents shared their opinions for AECOM’s second Future of Infrastructure report. These responses acknowledge both the need and the significant opportunity for improvement. Concerns over the integrity of local infrastructure and access to clean water are strong, as is the appreciation for maintaining the affordability of public transit systems. Also reflected in the feedback is a shared confidence in the commitment of our city leaders, an understanding of the potential for greater engagement, and a willingness to contribute to the solutions.

AECOM has a deep connection to Los Angeles as our headquarters’ city, and a legacy of partnering with city stakeholders on important infrastructure projects. We will continue to devote the breadth of AECOM’s global expertise to helping Los Angeles grow and thrive, well into the future.

Kelli Bernard
Executive Vice President, National Cities Leader, Design and Consulting Services, Americas, AECOM

Los Angeles is a city in motion. Mixed-use towers rise in the downtown skyline as traffic lanes and freeways extend their reach, the LA River begins its revitalization, and world-class sport venues open to much fanfare. But along with the promise of a bright future are the realities of a homelessness crisis, nation-high housing prices, traffic, and infrastructure improvements needed to manage many large urban landscapes across the United States. Increasingly volatile cycles of drought and wildfires, and the ever-present threat of a major earthquake, demand that Angelenos prepare for resilience not only to prevent, but also to rebound from catastrophic events more effectively than ever before.

Opportunity for change

In a city known for its diversity, it is not surprising that Los Angeles residents have varying opinions about their city’s infrastructure. Increasing density, as well as climate change, have led to daunting and persistent water shortages, the ever-present threat of a natural disaster — from wildfires to landslides and the threat of a catastrophic earthquake — along with traffic congestion that has become as much an LA icon as the towering palm trees.

These issues demand innovative and eco-friendly solutions that will lead to long-term success. More than 1,100 Los Angeles residents shared their opinions for AECOM’s second Future of Infrastructure report. These responses acknowledge both the need and the significant opportunity for improvement. Concerns over the integrity of local infrastructure and access to clean water are strong, as is the appreciation for maintaining the affordability of public transit systems. Also reflected in the feedback is a shared confidence in the commitment of our city leaders, an understanding of the potential for greater engagement, and a willingness to contribute to the solutions.

AECOM has a deep connection to Los Angeles as our headquarters’ city, and a legacy of partnering with city stakeholders on important infrastructure projects. We will continue to devote the breadth of AECOM’s global expertise to helping Los Angeles grow and thrive, well into the future.

Kelli Bernard
Executive Vice President, National Cities Leader, Design and Consulting Services, Americas, AECOM
Angelenos are 'moderately satisfied' with the city's infrastructure, with a satisfaction score of 6.4, which places LA around the middle of all cities surveyed.

LA residents identified these three infrastructure improvements as highly significant to their future: improving environmental sustainability (16.9), upgrading of utilities (15.4) and upgrading of public transportation (14.4). These sentiments are backed by significant local investments, including US$300 million of new annual funding for LA County’s Safe, Clean Water Program, which will improve the sustainability of the city’s water infrastructure, and Measure M, which injects US$120 billion into transit and mobility enhancements over the next 40 years.  

In the area of transportation, respondents ranked their satisfaction with the overall quality (performance and reliability) at 5.9, with affordability scoring a slightly more favorable 6.5. In a vote of confidence for improving mobility, LA County taxpayers passed Measure M in 2016 that will fuel vast improvements to transportation infrastructure for decades to come.  

In the utility sector, only 48% of respondents feel their regular water bill is affordable, but they remain ‘very satisfied’ with the reliability of their water utility (8.0). Electric utility reliability fared less favorably at 6.4 due to seasonal power outages, with the majority of respondents indicating they experienced at least one power outage in their residence or neighborhood in the past 12 months. At the same time, only 39% of respondents feel their regular electricity bill is affordable. These outcomes demonstrate the challenges of balancing the costs associated with ensuring infrastructure reliability with efforts to remain a leader in creating renewable energy and a sustainable water system.

At 3.1, LA’s Engagement index score is slightly below the global average result of 3.3. Some 49% of respondents felt they did not have an opportunity to provide feedback on public infrastructure issues over the past year. Only 14% said they had the opportunity to offer feedback on future planning. In total, 51% of those surveyed agreed that requests for feedback about infrastructure improvements or investments came too late in the planning process for their influence to be meaningful. This understanding reflects a common concern among most of the 10 cities and residents we surveyed, and highlights the imperative of having a robust community engagement and outreach process as part of any new project or spending.

Respondents are split on the issue of paying higher taxes to fund infrastructure improvements, with 35% in disagreement and 41% in agreement — which ranked just above the global average (37% in agreement). It’s important to note that since 2016, LA County voters have approved multiple new tax measures for transportation, water, parks, and homelessness — so this sentiment may indicate voter fatigue for new taxes.

Also related to funding, 64% of LA respondents agree that the private sector should have a greater role in infrastructure development. In parallel, city leaders are pursuing more public-private partnerships throughout the region. Examples include two substantial capital improvement projects at Los Angeles International Airport, the redevelopment of multiple civic facilities, and joint development of underutilized public land near transit stations.
INNOVATION

Angelenos surveyed are ‘moderately satisfied’ with their city’s level of innovation in infrastructure and services. LA’s Innovation index at 6.0 falls just short of the average for all cities surveyed at 6.2.

Respondents ranked solar power (15.9), fiber-optic broadband (13.0), fast rail connections to the airport (11.1) and smart electric residential meters (10.8) as the four technologies that will have the greatest positive impact on future quality of life in Los Angeles.

These findings align with the direction LA leaders are heading. In energy, the Los Angeles Department of Water & Power’s (LADWP) power mix includes 50% from carbon-free energy sources, with 30% generated by renewable energy. As LA continues to pursue a renewable energy agenda, advancements in power reliability and storage will be critical. LADWP has a number of innovative projects intended to increase its renewable energy portfolio, coupled with a goal to considerably increase its total of publicly accessible electric vehicle charging stations to 10,000 across the city.

In transportation infrastructure, an automated people mover is beginning construction at Los Angeles International Airport which will feed directly to Metro’s expanding rail network and create a valuable mass transit connection to one of the world’s busiest airports. ⁵

RESILIENCE

The Resilience Index for Los Angeles residents landed at 5.4, with 38% of respondents stating they were confident in their city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters. Overall, residents demonstrate less confidence in this area with regards to terrorism (37% — not confident, while 34% are confident) and cyberattacks (40% — not confident, while 28% were confident).

In L.A., protection against the impacts of natural disasters ranked fourth in order of future infrastructure priorities for residents surveyed (13.6). With the demonstrated consequences of recent seasonal fires and the threat of a catastrophic earthquake, this suggests there is still more effort needed to educate the public about the need for resilience planning and disaster readiness.


LADWP’s power mix includes 50% from carbon-free energy sources, with 30% generated by renewable energy.

IN THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE
LOS ANGELES RESIDENTS WANT THEIR SAY
FEEDING BACK ON INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES

51 percent of Angelenos felt that over the past year they have had the opportunity to provide feedback regarding public infrastructure issues.

51 percent think that, when they are asked their views, it is too late for their influence to be meaningful.

PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT
DEVELOPING INFRASTRUCTURE

64 percent of Angelenos agree that the private sector should be more involved in the development of infrastructure.

INVESTING IN NEW INFRASTRUCTURE TO BUILD A SAFER, MORE SUSTAINABLE, MORE LIVABLE CITY

1,121 OF LOS ANGELES’ RESIDENTS WERE SURVEYED AND SAID...

51% 51%

Respondents are split on the issue of paying higher taxes to fund infrastructure improvements 41 percent in agreement vs. 35 percent in disagreement.

FUNDING FUTURE INFRASTRUCTURE TO TAX, OR NOT TO TAX

INVESTING IN NEW INFRASTRUCTURE TO BUILD A SAFER, MORE SUSTAINABLE, MORE LIVABLE CITY

50 51

The analysis is based on a survey of 1,121 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
NYC NEW YORK

AN EVER-EVOLVING GLOBAL HUB, WORKING TO KEEP PACE WITH GROWING DEMAND

Introduction

Known as ‘The City That Never Sleeps,’ New York City is a whirl of energy and reinvention, a magnet for creativity, talent, innovation and opportunity. How does New York City maintain its reputation as a place where people work, live and play at all hours of the day and night, while keeping pace with the infrastructure demands of its growing population? This question is reflective of the New York City–focused results of our second global Future of Infrastructure report.

As part of this research, we asked over 1,000 New Yorkers for their views on the city’s infrastructure and their thoughts about their city. New Yorkers are known to be passionate people with a variety of different opinions, so it’s no surprise to learn that they have definitive thoughts about their city. New Yorkers take great civic pride in the resiliency shown in the face of recent natural and man-made threats to their hometown, and appreciate the reliability of its utilities and affordability of its mass transit, they require further improvements and advancements.

We live and work here, so we get it. AECOM has extensive experience delivering iconic projects throughout the New York metropolitan area. Addressing these challenges won’t be easy, but our network of planners, designers, engineers and management professionals have the knowledge and reach to help develop the infrastructure that will sustain the city’s growth and support future generations.

Paul Stolley
Senior Vice President and Chief of Strategy and Business Development, New York Metro, AECOM

Long a magnet for the world’s best and brightest, New York is a true global city, one of only two cities to achieve the Alpha ++ ranking, and first in the Global Cities Index, driven by its strong performance in business activity and human capital. In the 21st century, the long-time powerhouse of banking, commerce and culture has quickly added international tourist destination and technology hub to its CV. With over eight million New York City residents, 400,000 daily commuters from the surrounding metropolitan area, almost 5 million international visitors annually, and an influx of new tech talent expected, New York City’s growth has outpaced its infrastructure. New York City’s mass transit and road systems were designed decades ago for a populace that worked, played and lived differently. Construction booms, e-commerce and housing scarcity are all putting further pressure on transit systems as people and materials travel farther to their destinations each day. And political and environmental events are changing what is required from man–made structures. NYC’s infrastructure helped it become “The City That Never Sleeps,” now it’s time to ensure that its infrastructure can sustain the growth the city’s success has reaped.

New York City findings

New Yorkers are known to be passionate people with a variety of different opinions, so it’s no surprise to learn that they have definitive thoughts about their city. New Yorkers take great civic pride in the resiliency shown in the face of recent natural and man-made threats to their hometown, and appreciate the comparative affordability of the city’s transit systems and utilities. Still, many New Yorkers are impatient with the perceived slow pace at which innovations are adopted into their aging systems, and would like to see their city remain remarkably innovative.

Of those surveyed, 67% feel the private sector should be more involved in the development of infrastructure.

Scores for satisfaction, engagement, resilience, innovation and the city’s overall ranking are based on responses to selected questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands:

- 10–7.6 Very satisfied
- 7.5–5.1 Moderately satisfied
- 5.0–2.6 Not very satisfied
- 2.5–1.0 Dissatisfied

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses to selected questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands: Very satisfied, Moderately satisfied, Not very satisfied, and Dissatisfied.
New Yorkers are somewhat satisfied with the city’s infrastructure, with an index score of 6.5 out of 10 — ranking right in the middle of all city dwellers surveyed. When taking into account other aspects of infrastructure, such as innovation, engagement and resilience, the city’s scores drop to 4.0 out of 10, ‘not very satisfied,’ which is slightly below the average of all respondents.

When asked about some specific infrastructure categories, such as utilities, New Yorkers surveyed found their city to be more reliable than most. New York achieved a 7.4 index score for power reliability and an 8.1 index score for water reliability — in both cases these higher than the average of all cities surveyed.

While respondents only give transportation reliability an index score of 5.9 (lower than Hong Kong’s and London’s matching scores of 6.5, but ahead of Toronto’s 5.6), for the most part those surveyed do feel that, despite recent delays, public transportation gets them where they need to go, with 86% stating it is ‘acceptable’ or ‘good’ or ‘excellent.’

While 48% of respondents are not willing to pay higher fares, 41% of those surveyed would be willing to pay higher taxes to fund improvements in infrastructure.

In terms of roads and bridges, however, roughly one-third of residents in New York — as well as in Los Angeles, Toronto, London and Sydney — say these infrastructure elements are getting worse, explaining why roads are viewed as the first or second priority for infrastructure improvements in all of these cities.

Like all those surveyed around the world, New York City’s Engagement index is low, at 3.2. Though that puts New York City just below the average global index of 3.3, that score still manages to rank New York City above Sydney, Chicago, Hong Kong, Toronto, Singapore and Los Angeles in the global index for engagement.

Some 47% of New Yorkers responding felt that they have not had the opportunity to provide feedback regarding public infrastructure issues in the past 12 months. While only 19% of respondents reported providing feedback on the price of services, the Participatory Budgeting in New York City (PBNYC), which has been called ‘revolutionary civics in action’ by the New York Times, is the largest and the fastest-growing participatory budgeting process in the United States. As this initiative continues to grow in scope, it will hopefully help to strengthen civic engagement within the city further — and enable more residents to feel involved in infrastructure development. Currently, 53% of those surveyed in New York agreed that requests for feedback about infrastructure improvements or investments come too late in the planning stage for their influence to be meaningful.
The Resilience index for New York City is 5.7, which is also the average score of all cities surveyed. In New York, protection against natural disasters is the second biggest priority (14.5), after upgrading public transportation (17.4), and closely followed by improving environmental sustainability (14.3) and upgrading of utilities (13.3).

Some 40% of New Yorkers surveyed are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters; 49% are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from terrorism; and while 43% of respondents have been informed of drills or exercises to help prepare their city for emergency situations, 34% have not.

INNOVATION

The New Yorkers surveyed feel that their city is still striving to incorporate innovation into its infrastructure; New York City’s Innovation index is 5.8, significantly lower than London’s (6.7), but residents are still ‘moderately satisfied’ on this count.

Interestingly, it is not new technologies that the New Yorkers surveyed identified as having the most perceived positive impact on their future quality of life; respondents cited the common existing technologies of solar power and fiber-optic broadband to be two of the top four technologies likely to have the biggest impact on their quality of life. While future-tech, such as virtual/augmented reality and driverless cars were identified as having the least potential impact.

Overall, New York residents ranked fast rail connections to the airport third after solar power and fiber-optic broadband in terms of its impact on their quality of life. But this technology was viewed as particularly important by middle and upper income respondents, who identified it as the number one technology out of the 11 listed.

This aligns with the importance all New Yorkers surveyed place on public transportation in general. When asked about infrastructure improvements, respondents identified upgrading public transportation as their priority for the future, with enabling new forms of public transportation coming in sixth on the list.

The New Yorkers surveyed feel that their city is still striving to incorporate innovation into its infrastructure; New York City’s Innovation index is 5.8, significantly lower than London’s (6.7), but residents are still ‘moderately satisfied’ on this count.

INTERESTINGLY, IT IS NOT NEW TECHNOLOGIES THAT THE NEW YORKERS SURVEYED IDENTIFIED AS HAVING THE MOST PERCEIVED POSITIVE IMPACT ON THEIR FUTURE QUALITY OF LIFE; RESPONDENTS CITED THE COMMON EXISTING TECHNOLOGIES OF SOLAR POWER AND FIBER-OPTIC BROADBAND TO BE TWO OF THE TOP FOUR TECHNOLOGIES LIKELY TO HAVE THE BIGGEST IMPACT ON THEIR QUALITY OF LIFE. WHILE FUTURE-TECH, SUCH AS VIRTUAL/AUGMENTED REALITY AND DRIVERLESS CARS WERE IDENTIFIED AS HAVING THE LEAST POTENTIAL IMPACT.

THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE

When asked about infrastructure improvements, respondents identified upgrading public transportation as their priority for the future, with enabling new forms of public transportation coming in sixth on the list.

This aligns with the importance all New Yorkers surveyed place on public transportation in general. When asked about infrastructure improvements, respondents identified upgrading public transportation as their priority for the future, with enabling new forms of public transportation coming in sixth on the list.

The New Yorkers surveyed feel that their city is still striving to incorporate innovation into its infrastructure; New York City’s Innovation index is 5.8, significantly lower than London’s (6.7), but residents are still ‘moderately satisfied’ on this count.

Overall, New York residents ranked fast rail connections to the airport third after solar power and fiber-optic broadband in terms of its impact on their quality of life. But this technology was viewed as particularly important by middle and upper income respondents, who identified it as the number one technology out of the 11 listed.

This aligns with the importance all New Yorkers surveyed place on public transportation in general. When asked about infrastructure improvements, respondents identified upgrading public transportation as their priority for the future, with enabling new forms of public transportation coming in sixth on the list.

Some 40% of New Yorkers surveyed are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters; 49% are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from terrorism; and while 43% of respondents have been informed of drills or exercises to help prepare their city for emergency situations, 34% have not.
1,128 of New Yorkers were surveyed and said...

New York at a Glance

Infrastructure is everyone’s business

Residents want their say
New York’s decision makers

41% of New Yorkers surveyed would be willing to pay higher taxes to fund improvements in infrastructure.

67% feel the private sector should be more involved in the development of infrastructure.

Infrastructure resilience
A resilient city

40% of New Yorkers surveyed are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters.

49% are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from terrorism.

43% of respondents have been informed of drills or exercises to help prepare their city for emergency situations.

Technology matters
New Yorkers’ views on what technologies will improve their quality of life

#1 Solar power.
#2 Fiber-optic broadband.
#3 Fast rail connections to airports.

The analysis is based on a survey of 1,128 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
BIG MOVES CAN HELP SYDNEY CAPITALIZE ON ITS ICONIC STATUS AS A GLOBALLY ADMIRED CITY

Introduction
Beautiful beaches in the east, and a central business district with the iconic backdrop of the Opera House and Harbour Bridge have all helped Sydney become Australia’s number one destination for tourists, international students and talent. Today, the city is home to five million people and is expected to hit a population of eight million by 2030 — one of the fastest rates of population growth across the OECD. How will a city already feeling the impact of overcrowded buses and trains, congested roads and cost of living-on par with London and New York effectively meet the needs of an additional three million people?

Sydney’s famed quality of life is genuinely in the balance. AECOM’s second global Infrastructure report surveyed over 1,000 Sydneysiders. They told us they are feeling disengaged with infrastructure decisions, frustrated with the lack of fibre-optic broadband, and the widening gap between their expectations of a globally competitive city, and the day-to-day realities of Sydney life. They are also genuinely concerned about mitigating the effects of their sometimes-extreme climate.

Now here’s the good news: Sydney has probably never had a larger pipeline of multi-billion dollar infrastructure projects underway at the same time. There are new rail and metro lines, a brand new airport in Western Sydney and an expanded road network to address congestion. Time will tell whether this will be enough. With our network of planners, designers, engineers and management professionals, AECOM has been helping Sydney respond to its evolving infrastructure needs for decades. This report is a timely reminder for all stakeholders that Sydney’s future infrastructure needs require a very different approach to those of its past.

Todd Battles
Chief Executive Officer, Australia and New Zealand, AECOM

Sydney is an iconic global city and hub for financial and technology services, tourism, education and investment. It benefits from being open to global best practices, fostering successful public-private partnerships, and its enviable lifestyle, which is a magnet for talent.

To continue thriving, Sydney needs to embrace bold reforms or “big moves,” as outlined in AECOM’s Sydney Manifesto, ranging from a smart-city approach to planning to creating a more water-sensitive city. As global influence shifts to Asia, Sydney has an opportunity to capitalize on its iconic status to create an even more livable — and globally admired — city.

A growing city
Sydney residents, governments and stakeholders are aware of how essential infrastructure is, especially as Sydney has been growing rapidly over many years, a topic explored in AECOM’s report, Making Sydney Brisbane: A Manifesto for Sydney at 8 million people. But the strains of rapid building to accommodate this growth are beginning to show. This is illustrated by the fact that 41% of survey respondents in Sydney think commuting is becoming more stressful and two-thirds want to keep the city moving with a focus on improving both roads and transit. Respondents thought that fibre-optic broadband, solar power, and fast rail connections to airports (in order of priority) are the three technologies that will have the greatest potential positive impact on their quality of life. Surprisingly, driverless cars were low down on the list, despite what planners might expect.

Todd Battles
Chief Executive Officer, Australia and New Zealand, AECOM

A growing city
Sydney residents, governments and stakeholders are aware of how essential infrastructure is, especially as Sydney has been growing rapidly over many years, a topic explored in AECOM’s report, Making Sydney Brisbane: A Manifesto for Sydney at 8 million people. But the strains of rapid building to accommodate this growth are beginning to show. This is illustrated by the fact that 41% of survey respondents in Sydney think commuting is becoming more stressful and two-thirds want to keep the city moving with a focus on improving both roads and transit. Respondents thought that fibre-optic broadband, solar power, and fast rail connections to airports (in order of priority) are the three technologies that will have the greatest potential positive impact on their quality of life. Surprisingly, driverless cars were low down on the list, despite what planners might expect.

Todd Battles
Chief Executive Officer, Australia and New Zealand, AECOM

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index
Scores on respondents to select questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands:
Although generally happy with the ability to move around the city, there is an obvious desire to further improve transportation infrastructure. One-third of survey respondents in Sydney want more spending on roads (33%), which is ahead of other infrastructure (rail 20%; electric power 19%; water 8%; subway/underground 6%; buses 7%). With roads excluded, 35% want the focus of infrastructure spending to be on transportation, including rail (20%), subway/underground (6%), airport (2%) and buses (7%).

The survey found that the vast majority, 83%, feel that they have an ‘acceptable’ or better ability to get to where they need to go and almost 73% think on-time reliability of transit is ‘acceptable’ or even better. And yet, more than six in 10 respondents say that using transit is becoming more stressful (with only 18% disagreeing), so capacity increases to keep up with a growing population appear to be greatly desired.

Almost four in 10 Sydney respondents (37%) feel city government does not usually make the right decisions about which large-scale infrastructure projects to fund. This compares to 29% who feel the decisions are correct. Despite sounding negative, this was actually quite a typical response among residents of all cities surveyed. In fact, only Mumbai, Singapore and Riyadh scored well ahead of the other cities on this question, with Sydney respondents being similar to Hong Kong and Chicago. However, it is clear that respondents in Sydney are frustrated with long construction schedules and delays, with three times as many people disagreed as agreed that large projects are usually completed on schedule. Therefore, efforts to streamline the construction process are likely to be welcomed.

Most Sydney survey respondents (59%) said governments are short sighted in the way they managed infrastructure planning. Respondents (37%) said they did not have confidence in government’s ability to select the right projects to fund. This included major infrastructure, public transportation and utilities projects.

Transportation, excluding roads — which was 33%, was considered to be the highest spending priority by 33% of Sydney respondents. This included rail (20%), subway/underground (6%), airport (2%) and bus (7%) infrastructure.

Respondents indicated that they want to be engaged earlier in the infrastructure project planning process. Some 53% of survey respondents said they agreed that requests for feedback on infrastructure projects come too late in the planning stage for it to have influence or be meaningful.

There were mixed messages from respondents about their level of satisfaction with the communication about providing feedback submissions. Around 28% of respondents said they agreed that governments explained the feedback submissions processes clearly, while 26% disagreed.

Just under one-quarter (23%) of respondents said that, in the past 12 months, they had one or two opportunities to provide feedback on public transport and 11% reported they had three or more opportunities.

Focusing on better coordinated, whole-of-government communication about infrastructure programs and projects, may be an effective way to better engage with construction- and consultation-fatigued key stakeholders and communities. It appears there are real opportunities to explore different communication and engagement approaches to specifically address the Sydney context.
INNOVATION

The three technologies with the greatest expected positive impact on quality of life for respondents were fiber-optic broadband, solar power, and fast rail connections to airports. Perhaps surprisingly, given the high industry expectations for this technology, driverless vehicles was the third-least-popular choice.

A total of 39% of Sydney respondents agree that their main provider of public transportation makes available innovative payment options depending on time of day or usage, while 22% disagreed. Comparatively, these Sydney results were roughly in the middle of the pack, demonstrating that public transportation patrons are still not fully aware of the travel options available. Sydney respondents also identified mobile payment channels as one of the top five technologies that can have a big impact on their quality of life (behind fiber-optic broadband, solar power, fast rail connections to airports and smart home electricity meters).

ONE HIGH-VALUE, INNOVATION COULD BE THE INTRODUCTION OF NEXT-GENERATION, EAST-WEST CORRIDORS.

Regarding privacy and the use of personal data, which may affect how innovative services can be rolled out, over two-thirds of Sydney respondents are happy with (40%) or indifferent to (26%) sharing personal data with relevant city agencies to help improve infrastructure and transportation services. Sydney respondents are not far outside the mainstream opinion on this question, with majorities in Mumbai and Riyadh happy to share their personal data. It is notable that opposition in Sydney, at 32%, was the second highest after Chicago (36%).

As outlined in our Sydney Manifesto, one high-value innovation could be introducing next-generation, east-west corridors that prioritize the movement of people, water and essential services, not just transportation. Such corridors would be value-generating assets that could attract employment and provide great places to live, work and play.

RESILIENCE

Some 40% of those questioned in Sydney are confident that government can respond appropriately to protect the city against the effects of weather-related disasters, such as floods or heatwaves. However, 26% do not have such high confidence, with one-third (33%) remaining neutral.

Increases in the frequency and intensity of extreme weather mean Sydney’s key infrastructure such as transportation, telecommunications and power are increasingly more vulnerable to disruption due to their increasing interdependence with other services across the city system. The Resilient Sydney Strategy found that Sydney is most vulnerable to extreme weather compared to other shocks, such as cyberattacks and terrorism, and the city is particularly vulnerable to heatwaves and storms and their impacts, including bushfires and flooding.

Concerning green space, which reduces the heat-island effect that boosts temperatures in the city, respondents’ perceptions were again split into three similarly sized groups, with 31% saying the amount of open green space has expanded in the last two years, 37% saying it has not and 31% being neutral on the question. London was the only city with a worse perception of the expansion of green space, so Sydney is squarely in second-last place on the question of awareness of new greenspace.

Western Sydney is 6–10°C hotter during extreme heat events compared to coastal parts of Sydney. And one consequence of this is that heatwaves can cause power infrastructure to overload and fail. AECOM’s Sydney Manifesto report discussed ways to make Sydney more resilient to heat stress, including creating a large lake or chain of lakes and increasing urban canopies to help cool Western Sydney, in particular.

Concerning green space, which reduces the heat-island effect that boosts temperatures in the city, respondents’ perceptions were again split into three similarly sized groups, with 31% saying the amount of open green space has expanded in the last two years, 37% saying it has not and 31% being neutral on the question. London was the only city with a worse perception of the expansion of green space, so Sydney is squarely in second-last place on the question of awareness of new greenspace.


The analysis is based on a survey of 1,096 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
Introduction
Known as the ‘Second City,’ ‘City of Big Shoulders,’ and the ‘Windy City,’ Chicago is a hub of cosmopolitan flair that more than 2.7 million people call home. The city’s world-class restaurants, thriving cultural scene and diverse neighborhoods are magnets for tourists and residents alike and its potential for further economic development have made it the #1 metropolitan area for corporations and expansions in the country.

As part of this research, we asked over 1,000 Chicagoans for their views on the city’s infrastructure and their ambitions for its future evolution. We found that while Chicagoans are proud of their city’s growth and development, they recognize the need to upgrade public transportation as well as its utilities throughout the city. We are rooted in Chicago and have contributed to the city’s storied past and will continue to contribute to its vibrant future. AECOM’s network of planners, designers, engineers and management professionals have the knowledge and reach to address the challenges Chicagoans face as they continue to develop infrastructure that will advance this city into a future and that will support and sustain its growth for years to come.

Denise Casalino
Senior Vice President, Strategy and Growth, Design and Consulting Services, Americas, AECOM

Chicagofindings
Chicagoans are proud of their city — a center for arts and culture along the lake. However, residents have plenty to endure, from grueling winters to government budget crises. While Chicago residents are ‘moderately satisfied’ with their city’s infrastructure, there are increasing needs to improve both soft and hard infrastructure including government and agency engagement with residents, to water, transportation and the environment. The findings of this report are reflective of priorities for the city’s management.

With its 2.7 million residents, 600 parks and 26 miles of lakefront, what will Chicago, look like in 50 years? How will it continue to inspire and excite, while it safeguards water systems, advances its infrastructure and continues to cultivate and develop its urban landscape for generations to come? The answer is by finding innovative ways to connect people to places through mobility and by strengthening neighborhoods.

Despite funding shortfalls, the city continues to make great strides in creating Chicago as a destination for tourists, residents and businesses. Maggie Daley Park opened. The Cubs won the World Series. Chicago’s rapid transit system has made a comeback. The housing market is booming. A long untapped resource, the Chicago River, is now open for people to access and enjoy. It is no wonder that Chicago is experiencing growth. It is the #1 metro area for corporate relocations and expansions in the U.S., ranked #2 for fast-growing companies and #8 globally for economy.

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses to selected questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands.
SATISFACTION

Chicago respondents are ‘moderately satisfied’ with their city’s infrastructure, with a Satisfaction index score of 6.6 out of 10 — ranking slightly higher than average for all city-dwellers surveyed in our report and higher than any other city surveyed in North America.

A transportation reliability score of 6.3 puts Chicagoans above the average for all cities surveyed (6.1) in terms of satisfaction, and Chicago ranked in line with the average for transport affordability (6.1). Upgrading public transportation was ranked one of the top priorities for Chicago respondents for future infrastructure improvements.

When asked about the management and delivery of the city's infrastructure, Chicago respondents were not very satisfied. With a score of 3.93, Chicagoans were below the average of respondents across all cities.

While less than half (48%) of Chicago respondents surveyed feel their water is affordable, residents were ‘very satisfied’ (8.7) with the reliability of their water utility. Reliability scores were less favorable for electric utility (6.7) based on reported outages in the past 12 months. Some 59% of the city’s respondents said they had experienced one or no outages in the past year. Chicago respondents noted upgrading utilities as one of their top three priorities for future infrastructure improvements.

ENGAGEMENT

Chicago’s Engagement index is low at 2.7 out of 10, and this score puts Chicago below the average global index of 3.8 — along with Hong Kong and Sydney. Globally, over one-third (34%) of survey respondents have had no interaction at all with public transportation providers in the past year.

Continuing with public transportation, in the 10 surveyed cities, it was felt that the opportunities that do exist for better interaction are often wasted through a lack of attention to basic details. For example, no more than 36% of respondents feel that the authorities are clear when requesting resident feedback about public transportation issues. Such clarity is particularly low, judging by the responses in North American cities including Chicago, which is 27% in agreement.

A total of 55% of Chicago respondents felt that they have not had the opportunity to provide feedback regarding public infrastructure issues in the past 12 months, (the all city average was 45%), only 11% reported providing feedback on future planning.

Some 52% of those surveyed across all 10 global cities agreed that requests for feedback about infrastructure improvements or investments come too late in the planning stage for their influence to be meaningful.

Chicagoans also reported a below average score for engagement in transport infrastructure (2.6). They scored below the average for this metric across the 10 cities surveyed, which is itself low compared to that for other metrics.
RESILIENCE

The Resilience index for Chicago is 5.5, which is slightly below the average score of all cities surveyed at 5.7. Just under half of residents (46%) are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, and 35% from terrorism. Protection against the impacts of natural disasters ranked fifth in terms of Chicago respondents’ priorities for future infrastructure improvements, just behind protection against cyberattacks in fourth place.

Residents identified improving environmental sustainability (recycling, wastewater re-use, solar power), upgrading of utilities, and upgrading public transportation as the three most important infrastructure improvements.

INNOVATION

Chicagoans are ‘moderately satisfied’ overall with their city’s level of innovation in infrastructure and services, however, their index score (5.9) still falls below the average for all cities surveyed (6.2). Chicago respondents ranked solar power, fiber-optic broadband, smart (digital) electricity meters installed in homes and fast rail connections to the airport as the top four technologies that will positively impact their quality of life in the future.

Meanwhile, when it comes to future spending on transportation, residents of Chicago would prioritize spending on improving the road network. This sentiment was shared with those in Los Angeles and Sydney — all three cities where private cars are the main form of local transport. By contrast, those in New York, Toronto and Hong Kong, where people are more reliant on mass transit, would prioritize spending on improving their underground metro systems.

Residents identified driverless vehicles, along with social media payment channels and virtual augmented reality as the three technologies likely to have the least impact on their quality of life.

PROTECTION AGAINST THE IMPACTS OF NATURAL DISASTERS RANKED FIFTH IN TERMS OF CHICAGO RESPONDENTS’ PRIORITIES FOR FUTURE INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS, JUST BEHIND PROTECTION AGAINST CYBERATTACKS IN FOURTH PLACE.
INFRASTRUCTURE IS EVERYONE’S BUSINESS

CHICAGO: A RESILIENT CITY
THE ABILITY TO PROTECT AGAINST DISASTERS

46% are confident in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, and 35% from terrorism.

TECHNOLOGY MATTERS
CHICAGOANS VIEWS ON WHAT TECHNOLOGIES WILL IMPROVE THEIR QUALITY OF LIFE

#1 Solar power.
#2 Fiber-optic broadband.
#3 Smart (digital) electricity meters.

JOINING THE DEBATE
CHICAGOANS WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE PROCESS

55% felt that over the past year they have not had the opportunity to provide feedback regarding public infrastructure issues.
46% think that, when they are asked their views, it is too late for their influence to be meaningful.

FUTURE PROOFING THE CITY
FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS TO HELP THE CITY MOVE FORWARD

#1 Improving environmental sustainability (e.g. recycling, wastewater re-use, solar power).
#2 Upgrading of utilities (water, power).
#3 Upgrading of public transportation.

1,014 OF CHICAGO’S RESIDENTS WERE SURVEYED AND SAID…

FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS TO HELP THE CITY MOVE FORWARD

The analysis is based on a survey of 1,014 citizens. Respondents were evenly distributed by gender, age and income.
Improving transit and reducing gridlock are Toronto’s key priorities for the future

Introduction
Toronto is the cultural, entertainment and financial capital of Canada, a cosmopolitan melting pot with residents who speak more than 140 languages, diverse neighborhoods and eclectic restaurants. Defined as much by its picturesque waterfront and area festivals, Toronto is a forward-thinking city, at once rooted in its history and driven to advance its future as the fastest growing metropolitan area in North America. As part of AECOM’s research into our second global Future of Infrastructure report, we asked more than 1,000 residents in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) for their views about Toronto’s infrastructure and ambitions for its further evolution. Our results found that residents are ‘moderately satisfied’ with Toronto’s infrastructure, but recognize the need to prioritize public transportation, utilities and environmental sustainability upgrades to maintain their city’s dynamic growth.

With our own long history and extensive roots in Toronto, we understand both the excitement and concerns of Toronto’s residents and are working to keep this open and tolerant city at the forefront of dynamic growth. AECOM’s network of planners, designers, engineers and management professionals have the experience, reach and insight to continue to unlock solutions and deliver innovative infrastructure projects that will help sustain Toronto’s growth for years to come.

Marc Deville
Executive Vice President, Region Executive, Design and Consulting Services, Americas, AECOM

What makes Toronto one of the world’s most livable cities? Is it our sprawling waterfront, ample green spaces or reputation as a safe place to live? Toronto wasn’t named a top 10 livable city by accident! Our people, economy and abundant natural resources drove this recent ranking by The Economist. With residents living and working along the shores of Lake Ontario, our city is made up of rising skyscrapers, a beautiful lakefront, art and business districts, and educational and entertainment facilities. Toronto is deeply rooted in its history and diversity — we pride ourselves on embracing cultural differences and moving forward!

Toronto findings
Toronto is one of the fastest-growing metropolitan areas in North America. This growth requires new and upgraded infrastructure including roads, highways and access to transit, as well as water and wastewater services. As a result of this growing need, it’s no surprise that respondents overall are “not very satisfied” with the current infrastructure management and delivery for their city.

Toronto Mayor John Tory said on the city’s priorities: “Torontoians aren’t asking us for miracles. They don’t expect miracles of our elected representatives. What they want is visible progress and soon on a few critical and shared priorities. Transit and gridlock top this list … and so on those subjects, it’s time to get to work.”

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses to 10 closed questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Shanghai and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are measured on a 1–10 scale, with four bands.

SATISFACTION

GLOBAL AVERAGE

GLOBAL AVERAGE

GLOBAL AVERAGE

GLOBAL AVERAGE

6.1
6.4
2.8
3.3

6.2
5.9
5.7
5.2

6.4
6.2
6.2
6.1

10–7.6
7.5–5.1
5.0–2.6
2.5–1.0

Very satisfied
Moderately satisfied
Not very satisfied
Disatisfied

Resilience
How confident do residents feel about their city’s ability to protect infrastructure from natural disasters, cyberattacks, terrorism and other external hazards?

Innovation
What is residents’ experience of innovative technologies in their city?

Engagement
How much do residents engage with city authorities and infrastructure providers?

Satisfaction
What is residents’ experience of core infrastructure — utilities (water and electric power) and transportation (rail, bus, roads, ports, etc.) in the city where they live?
SATISFACTION

While Toronto residents are ‘moderately satisfied’ with the city’s infrastructure, with an infrastructure satisfaction score of 6.1 out of 10, they were less satisfied than the other city dwellers surveyed, with the exception of Mumbai and Riyadh.

Toronto’s respondents are the least enthusiastic of the cities surveyed about transport quality — performance and reliability. Some respondents in Toronto noted the upgrading of public transportation as their top priority for future infrastructure improvements, followed by improving environmental sustainability and upgrading utilities.

While just 43% of Toronto respondents feel their regular water bill is affordable, Toronto’s citizens surveyed were ‘very satisfied’ with the reliability of their water utility (8.3).

ENGAGEMENT

At 2.8 out of 10, Toronto’s Engagement index is below the global average score of 3.3, but is above the overall Engagement index score of Chicago, Sydney and Hong Kong. Toronto citizens are ‘dissatisfied’ with the number of opportunities to provide feedback to a public transportation provider.

Also on public transportation, in the 10 surveyed cities, it was felt that the opportunities that do exist for better interaction are often wasted through a lack of attention to basic details. For example, no more than 36% of all global respondents feel that the authorities are clear when requesting citizen feedback about public transportation issues. Such clarity is particularly low, judging by the responses, in North American cities such as Toronto, with 32% in agreement. Some 53% of Toronto respondents feel they did not have an opportunity to provide feedback regarding public infrastructure issues in the past 12 months; only 15% reported providing feedback on future planning.

A total of 52% of global respondents (47% in Toronto) agreed that requests for feedback about infrastructure improvements or investments come too late in the planning stage for their influence to be meaningful.
RESILIENCE

The Resilience index score for Toronto is 5.2. This is the lowest score of all cities surveyed, with a third of respondents expressing confidence in the city government’s ability to protect infrastructure against natural (weather-related) disasters (i.e., floods, ice/snow, etc.), 30% in terms of terrorism and only 23% confident about the city’s infrastructure resilience to cyberattacks.

And in Toronto, protection against the impacts of natural disasters like flood protection ranked third for those surveyed, in terms of being an infrastructure improvement important to their future.

INNOVATION

Five of our focus cities in the Future of Infrastructure report — Toronto, New York, London, Singapore, and Hong Kong — are among the world’s 10 smartest cities, according to an authoritative annual ranking by Spain’s IESE Business School. These cities are using data and digital technology to improve residents’ lives. Our survey respondents in these and the other cities believe there is considerable innovation around infrastructure and services.

Toronto citizens surveyed are ‘moderately satisfied’ with their city’s level of innovation in infrastructure and services; however, at 5.9, Toronto’s Innovation index score is on the lower end, only surpassing New York and Hong Kong, and on a par with Chicago (5.9).

Toronto respondents identified solar power and fiber-optic broadband as the leading two technologies that will have the greatest positive impact on their future quality of life.

Low on the list of life-improving technologies was future-tech, such as virtual/augmented reality, and driverless vehicles.

“Toronto citizens surveyed are ‘moderately satisfied’ with their city’s level of innovation in infrastructure and services.”

—

THE CITIZENS OF TORONTO WANT THEIR SAY
FEEDING BACK ON INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES

53% feel they did not have an opportunity to provide feedback regarding public infrastructure issues in the past 12 months.

47% agree that they are engaged too late in the infrastructure planning stage for feedback to be meaningful.

FUTURE PROOFING THE CITY
MOST IMPORTANT FUTURE IMPROVEMENTS FOR TORONTO’S PROGRESSION

#1
Upgrading of public transportation.

#2
Improving environmental sustainability (e.g. recycling, wastewater re-use, solar power).

#3
Upgrading of utilities (water, power).

TECHNOLOGY MATTERS
WHAT TECHNOLOGIES WILL IMPROVE THE LIVES OF TORONTO’S CITIZENS?

#1
Solar power.

#2
Fiber-optic broadband.

#3
Smart (digital) electricity meters.

TORONTO WANTS GREATER RESILIENCE
PROTECTING AGAINST DISASTERS

#1
They’re worried about the city’s ability to protect against cyberattacks.

#2
They can protect against terrorism.

#3
The city can protect itself against natural (weather related) disasters.
When survey respondents were asked to choose between several possible initiatives — roads, rail and the environment — roads and rail were top improvement priorities for the future.

- **UPGRADING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION**
  - **Residents want to play their part**
    - Some 37% of residents are willing to pay higher taxes to fund infrastructure improvements in their cities.

- **IMPROVING SUSTAINABILITY**
  - **The grass is always greener**
    - 45% say their city government lags behind those of other cities in implementing or encouraging environmentally sustainable practices.

- **UPGRADING UTILITIES**
  - **Access to reliable water and power**
    - 43% have experienced an interruption to their water supply in the past year.

**Global Findings**

**Is anyone happy with their infrastructure?**

1. **Upgrading Public Transportation**
   - Residents want to play their part
     - 37% of residents are willing to pay higher taxes to fund infrastructure improvements in their cities.

2. **Improving Sustainability**
   - The grass is always greener
     - 45% say their city government lags behind those of other cities in implementing or encouraging environmentally sustainable practices.

3. **Upgrading Utilities**
   - Access to reliable water and power
     - 43% have experienced an interruption to their water supply in the past year.

**Developing Resilience**

- 32% have confidence in their city government’s ability to protect infrastructure against cyberattacks.

**People’s say**

- 52% say requests for citizen feedback about infrastructure improvements or investments come too late in the planning stage to be meaningful.

**Private Sector Involvement**

- 63% agree that the private sector should be more involved in infrastructure development.

Discover more at [infrastructure.aecom.com](http://infrastructure.aecom.com)
The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses to selected questions from a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto.

Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale. The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index results overall are shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score Range</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>City (10)</th>
<th>City (9)</th>
<th>City (8)</th>
<th>City (7)</th>
<th>City (6)</th>
<th>City (5)</th>
<th>City (4)</th>
<th>City (3)</th>
<th>City (2)</th>
<th>City (1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10–7.6</td>
<td>Very satisfied</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Mumbai</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Riyadh</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5–5.1</td>
<td>Moderately satisfied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0–2.6</td>
<td>Not very satisfied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5–1.0</td>
<td>Dissatisfied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive Summary

A TALE OF 10 CITIES

The people’s verdict: city governments must do better.

Informing this score, in large part, is residents’ desire to have a greater say in the development of infrastructure in their cities. Across all of the locations, a perceived public engagement gap is undermining the best efforts of city authorities to upgrade local infrastructure and improve services. Residents want to be kept more informed about projects and contribute meaningfully to the debate around them.

Putting aside their concerns about engagement, citizens offer a more positive view of the quality and reliability of infrastructure overall. This support points to a measure of goodwill felt by citizens towards their cities’ infrastructure on which city governments could build — strengthening users’ sense of ownership of, and involvement in, future projects.

Here are the headline findings of our study, based on what more than 10,000 citizens told us about their use of infrastructure and ambitions for their cities.

Infrastructure is everyone’s business. But many feel shut out of the conversation. Most of the 10 survey cities clearly underperform when it comes to engagement with citizens on infrastructure. Aggregate satisfaction is measured at a lowly 3.3 out of 10. It is highest in Mumbai and lowest in Sydney, Chicago and Hong Kong. And more-focused interaction with citizens could go a long way toward improving perceptions of city governments’ performance on infrastructure and securing support for future projects.

Residents want to play their part. In several cities, residents show a willingness to pay higher taxes to fund infrastructure improvements. And almost one-half (46%) of respondents overall are happy to share personal data — the lifeblood of smart cities — with city agencies to help them improve infrastructure and services. Both commitments can be seen to underline citizens’ desire to play their part in delivering better infrastructure.

Wanted: more private-sector involvement. A clear majority of city residents overall (63%) believe the private sector should be more involved in the development of city infrastructure. The hope being, potentially, that this could help to contribute to the financing, development, delivery and management of better infrastructure.

Roads, rail and the environment are top improvement priorities.

Respondents were asked to choose between several possible initiatives, and upgrading public transportation — particularly roads and underground rail — is the top infrastructure priority. A close second priority is improving environmental sustainability, through solar power, recycling and wastewater re-use initiatives. Indeed, environmental sustainability is the main priority for five of our cities.

Boosting resilience against cyberattacks is a pressing concern. More respondents have confidence in their city’s ability to protect infrastructure against natural disasters and terrorist attacks than those who do not. They are less confident, however, in their city’s defenses against cyberattacks. And citizens need increased reassurance from city authorities about the capabilities of their infrastructure to withstand such events.

The grass is always greener. Almost half of respondents (45%) believe other city governments are doing a better job than their own in fostering environmentally sustainable practices. Solar power is viewed as extremely important to future quality of life, trailing only fiber-optic broadband.

When a city’s infrastructure works well we tend to take it for granted, but when transportation and utility services fail to deliver, they have a negative impact on our quality of life, on business and on the wider economy.

Is anyone happy with their infrastructure?

It’s a big question. And it’s at the core of a survey conducted for this report with more than 10,000 people across 10 major global cities — Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto.

When a city’s infrastructure works well, we tend to take it for granted. But when transportation and utility services fail to deliver, they have a negative impact on our quality of life, on business and on the wider economy.

We know infrastructure services are under strain to meet growing demand and that investment is lagging. With this in mind, it is perhaps no surprise that the residents of all 10 target cities tell us they are not entirely happy with their city government’s management of local infrastructure and the services it delivers.

Based on our survey, results show an average infrastructure satisfaction score across the cities of 4.1 on a scale of 1–10.1

1 The cities included in the study are Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore, and Toronto.
The Infrastructure Boom Cometh.
That was a headline appearing in the Sydney Morning Herald in early 2018.² The author pointed out the unprecedented investment in Australia in new transportation infrastructure in the face of rapid population growth and rising demand for services, and the fact that its largest state, New South Wales, of which Sydney is the capital, dominates this investment.

But for all of this, Sydney survey respondents seem to be displaying some impatience with the inevitable gaps between strongly increasing demand on transportation infrastructure and the ability to expand the necessary infrastructure quickly enough. This is a sentiment that is not out of line with other cities included in this report’s index. The 10,750 people surveyed for the study are generally ‘not very satisfied’ with their cities’ management of infrastructure and related services.

Despite tens of billions of dollars being poured into expanding capacity, Sydney has the third-lowest index score of the 10 cities, coming above only Chicago and Toronto. In contrast, the highest score is found in Mumbai where, despite severe challenges, residents believe things are improving in various areas of infrastructure and service delivery.³

As the overall index scores by city show (see pages 86–87), it is not all bad news for city leaders. The main issue is not necessarily the quality of the infrastructure or services provided, but rather cities’ perceived lack of engagement and openness to keeping residents informed and involved in infrastructure improvement projects.

Overall, the survey respondents appear to be ‘moderately satisfied’ in all 10 cities with the reliability — and even affordability — of infrastructure and related services.

Delivering daily life
When it comes to the services they use most often — electricity, water and public transportation — Hong Kong residents are the happiest of those in our sample. The vast majority in the territory deem their electricity supply, for example, to be reliable. Only 10 percent of respondents there have experienced a power outage more than once in the past year. Nearly two-thirds (63%), in Hong Kong say that the public transportation they use is ‘good’ or ‘excellent’ at getting them where they want to go. Fewer (35%) tell us the same about transport timeliness, but the vast majority (86%) state it is at least ‘acceptable.’ Riyadh residents are the least positive in the survey on infrastructure quality, although they can still be described as ‘moderately satisfied.’

Public transportation is viewed as generally reliable in all 10 cities, but that does not make using it any easier for commuters.
Reliability
Water, electricity and public transportation reliability index scores.
A higher score indicates more favorable responses in terms of fewer reported water and electricity outages, and transport performance and reliability.

Affordability
Respondents were asked how they would describe the affordability of their water/electricity bills and public transportation fares in their cities. This figure shows the percentages for being ‘overall affordable.’

Public transportation is viewed as generally reliable in all 10 cities, but that does not make using it any easier for commuters. Whichever form of transportation residents may select, most believe that the experience of traveling on public transportation is growing more, not less, stressful. Within the survey, complaints are loudest about delays. Nearly four in 10 New Yorkers, for example (38%), and three in 10 Torontonians (30%) rate public transportation in their cities as ‘poor’ on timeliness. However, vast majorities in both (86% and 80%, respectively) say the same means of transportation are ‘acceptable’ or better at getting them where they want to go. In the survey overall, half of respondents give a ‘good’ or ‘excellent’ grade to public transportation for getting them to their destinations, even if not always on time.

Relatively small numbers report a deterioration in the quality of transportation infrastructure during the past year. That figure is highest, though (28%), when it comes to roads and bridges. Roughly one-third of residents in New York, Los Angeles, Toronto, London and Sydney say these infrastructure elements are getting worse, explaining why roads are viewed as the first or second priority for infrastructure improvements in all of these cities.

City residents are broadly satisfied with the reliability of their water supply, as they are with their electricity supply. There are, however, significant differences between cities. For example, water outages or restrictions are a rarity in Singapore, according to nine in 10 respondents there. They are more commonplace in Mumbai, where 67 percent of residents have experienced a restricted supply on three or more occasions in the past year. There are also stark differences in electricity supply. Whereas 91 percent of Singaporeans have experienced one or no outages in the past year, that figure is only 58 percent in Chicago, 52 percent in Los Angeles, 51 percent in Riyadh, 45 percent in Toronto, and 15 percent in Mumbai.

The average frequency in the last 12 months, where respondents have experienced water outages or restricted supply, or loss of electric power to their own and neighbors’ residences.

Squeezing household budgets
The cost of infrastructure and the public services it supports naturally has an impact on levels of public satisfaction. In all cities, more survey respondents find their public transportation fares to be affordable than unaffordable. Hong Kong residents are the most likely in the survey to say their water and electricity bills are affordable. Citizens in Riyadh are least likely to say this, and as many as 75 percent of respondents there describe their regular electricity bills as unaffordable. This disquiet with electricity tariffs extends more widely: 54 percent in Sydney and 42 percent in Toronto also say their monthly bills are unaffordable. When it comes to public transportation, the largest share of respondents (38%) saying that transport fares are unaffordable is found in London.

WE’RE TRYING TO TAKE A BROADER VIEW AND SAY ‘WE’RE PART OF MAKING A GOOD CITY AND THAT’S NOT JUST ABOUT HOW WE MOVE PEOPLE FROM A TO B MORE EFFICIENTLY. IT’S ABOUT HOW THE TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE INTERFACES WITH THE COMMUNITY, HOW LAND USE DECISIONS ARE MADE AROUND IT. IT’S ABOUT BEING MUCH MORE CONSCIOUS OF THE BROADER IMPACT OF TRANSPORT, AND THE WAY WE THINK ABOUT IT IN TERMS OF CITIZENS, CUSTOMERS AND STAKEHOLDERS.

KEN KANOFSKI, CHIEF EXECUTIVE, ROADS AND MARITIME SERVICES, NEW SOUTH WALES, AUSTRALIA
Part One

INFRASTRUCTURE IS EVERYONE’S BUSINESS: WE WANT MORE SAY

With quality of life and quality of infrastructure services being increasingly linked, respondents make it clear that they want to be part of the infrastructure debate. In several of our focus cities, more than half of the residents surveyed say they had no opportunity to comment on public transportation. Many want to have a say in how their infrastructure is planned, paid for, developed and operated. They want to follow the progress of major projects and get answers from city agencies to their questions about the work. Our research shows that large numbers of citizens are unimpressed with their authorities’ efforts to engage with them.

A detailed look at the survey responses helps to explain the dissatisfaction. Only 38 percent of residents say that city planning authorities are making it easier for them to interact on infrastructure issues through mobile channels, and 39 percent say the same about interaction via social media. In the past 12 months, only one-third (33%) have viewed an infrastructure-related plan made available by city authorities.

Sydney stands out in its lack of engagement. Globally, over one-third (34%) of survey respondents — and as many as 46 percent in Sydney — have had no interaction at all with public transport providers in the past year. The figures are almost as high in Chicago and Toronto. Residents of Mumbai and Riyadh, by contrast, have had considerably more interaction, particularly via mobile channels and social media.

“People can feel that they’re not being kept informed about progress with infrastructure or services in ways that are relevant to them,” says Peter Runcie, who is New Industries and Future Cities Leader with the Data61 unit of the Australian government scientific research body, the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO). He observes that some of Sydney’s local area councils do a good job of interacting with residents on specific issues, such as road improvement, parking and development of local transportation strategies.

“Although uniformity is not desirable it can be difficult for these localized initiatives and best practices to be integrated at a city-wide level. People of course travel out of their local area so their perspectives — although not city-wide — do include multiple localities that provide services to them as individuals.”

ENGAGEMENT IS IMPROVING AT THE NEIGHBORHOOD LEVEL, BUT OVER TIME IN THIS COUNTRY [U.S.] WE HAVE CREATED A GAP IN INFORMATION ABOUT THE IMPACT OF INFRASTRUCTURE ON PEOPLE’S DAILY LIVES AND HOW IT’S PAID FOR. THERE’S A HUGE VOID BETWEEN REALITY AND WHAT THE PUBLIC KNOWS.

— Michael Lewis, Executive Director, Colorado Department of Transportation

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index draws on responses from 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles, London, New York, Hong Kong, Riyadh, Sydney, Chicago, Mumbai, Singapore and Toronto. Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale with four bands:

- Very satisfied: 10–7.6
- Moderately satisfied: 7.5–5.1
- Not very satisfied: 5.0–2.6
- Disatisfied: 2.5–1.0

The future of infrastructure

How much do citizens engage with city authorities and infrastructure providers?
Changing Minds in Mumbai

Completion of the 21-mile (33.5-kilometer) Mumbai Metro Line 3 (MML3), which is part of a 172-mile (277-kilometer) metro network, will increase its existing capacity by about 5 times to carry 1.6 million passengers per day. Touted as “one of the world’s most audacious transit projects,” India’s first fully underground metro network necessitates 24-hour construction right in the heart of the densely-populated city.

MML3 is being built directly under several working facilities including temples, playgrounds and residential areas. There are also 2,500 families whose homes and businesses must be demolished to build rail stations. Prior to MML3 there was no fixed government policy in place for this sort of demolition and relocation. MMRC has worked extensively with each and every affected family to hear their concerns. It took two years, but they were able to get an amenable government policy put in place based on this feedback. The corporation is continuously working to address the public’s concerns, but progress in winning hearts and minds has already begun to take hold. Ms. Bhide says, “I have seen in the last 2-3 years we have actually changed people’s attitudes towards this project.”

Make it meaningful

When people have opportunities to express their opinions, they also want to be confident that they will be heard. In the 10 surveyed cities, the opportunities that do exist for better interaction are often wasted through a lack of attention to basic details. For example, no more than 36 percent of respondents feel that the authorities are clear when requesting citizen feedback about public transportation issues. Such clarity is particularly low, judging by the responses, in North American cities such as Chicago (27% in agreement) and Toronto (32%). And a majority (52%), believe that feedback requests come too late to be meaningful. Mumbai residents are most vocal on this count, with 70 percent agreeing with the complaint.

Transportation agencies and utility providers in many cities are getting better at interacting with the public on infrastructure issues, according to Lara Poloni, AECOM’s Chief Executive Officer, Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA). “They certainly understand that customer needs should be the top priority, in terms of planning, services and security,” she says. Judging by the survey results, many cities are nevertheless finding it difficult to translate that understanding into effective engagement practices.

Public officials understand the need to engage more with the citizens on infrastructure projects agrees Sir John Armitt, Chair of the U.K.’s National Infrastructure Commission, but many are wary of the time it may add to the process. He terms them “reluctant converts.” “They’re worried about the time involved and the objections they’re likely to encounter. However, by not consulting and making decisions in isolation, they’re actually adding time to the process due to the resistance that follows.” His recommendation is, “Get out and talk to people about the costs as well as the benefits. You might be surprised that the more you talk to them, the more they’ll engage and warm to the ideas.”

One observation is that city authorities tend to engage their citizens only about the benefits of projects, without talking about costs. There is not enough effort to sensitize citizens to the cost of the project or the need for someone to pay. It is indeed a difficult conversation, but governments should engage their citizens on both the benefits and costs of projects at the same time.

Lawrence Wong, Minister for National Development and Second Minister for Finance, Singapore

“Make it meaningful.”

52 percent say agencies’ requests for citizen feedback on infrastructure projects come too late in the planning stage to be meaningful.
Automation will increase, and make it easier to book seamless journeys, from choosing the flight to checking in baggage. I see a time where your phone is the thing that helps you find the way through airport buildings and traditional signage becomes less prevalent.

— Phil Wilbraham, Expansion Programme Director, Heathrow Airport, London

Immersive experiences
A mastery of digital communication and visualization technologies would help city authorities boost their engagement success.

Citizens are increasingly technology savvy. A majority of survey respondents, for example, pay for their public services via internet banking and/or mobile apps. And when multiple channels of communication with city agencies are available, citizens appear to use them. Of the one-third of respondents who have viewed an infrastructure-related plan in the past year, 33 percent used a mobile app to do so (including 36% in Singapore and Riyadh, and 52% in Mumbai), and 30 percent an interactive website (48% of Hong Kong residents have done this). One-fifth also viewed a plan in an interactive digital display, such as one that Riyadh authorities organized to showcase its metro rail project (see ‘Keeping Riyadh Residents Engaged’ on page 24).
Citizen-centric innovation

Survey respondents’ views about infrastructure quality suggest there is plenty of goodwill that cities can build on to increase overall satisfaction levels. Doing a better job of keeping residents informed and seeking their input about infrastructure projects can build on that goodwill. Doing this does not necessarily entail major financial investments, says Ronnie Hakim, Managing Director of New York’s Metropolitan Transportation Authority. It does, however, require commitment of human resources, she says — finding good people to interact with the public effectively face-to-face and digitally.

Engagement should be treated as a core part of an infrastructure project and not an add-on, maintains Sir John Armitt. “There need to be clear targets and milestones [for consultation],” he says. “The targets may be daily, weekly, monthly or quarterly. When the targets are met and milestones reached everybody, including the wider public, needs to know. That’s how to maintain people’s interest over long drawn-out projects.”

NEW YORK LETS CITIZENS DO THE BUDGETING

Cycleways or sidewalks? Parks or libraries? An innovative program to invite local people to prioritize spending on their local infrastructure projects has been hailed as a success in New York City.

Harvard University calls the Participatory Budgeting initiative ‘the largest and fastest-growing’ process of its kind in the United States and gave it an award for innovation in government. Launched in 2011, the program involves inviting residents of individual districts to vote on how to allocate available funds on local infrastructure and other community projects. In one recent spending round, begun in August 2018, each of 31 districts had US$1 million to allocate. Projects that have benefited from previous spending rounds have included schools and libraries; many others involve parks, streets and sidewalks, bicycle lanes and other transit improvements.

Each round begins with several hundred neighborhood meetings to brainstorm ideas for projects. Citizens are also invited to submit ideas via an online mapping site, where they can view proposed projects near them and in other districts. Volunteers and officials of city agencies work to turn a select number of ideas into concrete proposals, which are then put to a vote by residents (five proposals in each district).

Critics say the funds available are limited and that new layers of city hall bureaucracy are blocking the scheme. Its continued expansion in scope, however, suggests the program is having a positive impact as an exercise in civic engagement.

—

LAWRENCE WONG, MINISTER FOR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND SECOND MINISTER FOR FINANCE, SINGAPORE

WE REGULARLY ENGAGE RESIDENTS IN THE VICINITY OF THE PROJECT WHO WOULD MOST LIKELY HAVE STRONG VIEWS OR ARE MORE DIRECTLY IMPACTED, AND OBTAIN THEIR FEEDBACK FACE-TO-FACE. WE ALSO OBTAIN FEEDBACK THROUGH ELECTRONIC PLATFORMS, WHICH HAS HELPED US TO GET A SENSE OF GROUND FEEDBACK AND RESPOND QUICKLY TO ISSUES.

—

LAWRENCE WONG, MINISTER FOR NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND SECOND MINISTER FOR FINANCE, SINGAPORE

8 www.innovations.harvard.edu/participatory-budgeting-new-york-city
Part Two

SMART, CONVENIENT, DATA DRIVEN

Five of our focus cities in this study — New York, London, Hong Kong, Singapore, Toronto — are among the world’s 10 smartest cities, according to an authoritative annual ranking by Spain’s IESE Business School. These cities are using data and digital technology to improve residents’ lives. Our survey respondents in these and the other cities believe there is considerable innovation around infrastructure and services. Overall, and in each city, citizens are “moderately satisfied” on this count.

Convenience on demand

Several futuristic, eye-catching technologies will make their appearance in cities in the coming decade. Already a few can be seen in city pilot projects, such as autonomous buses and cars, driverless drones for parcel delivery, airborne taxis, and augmented reality displays to help commuters navigate transport hubs. Corporate R&D units and technology startups are behind much of this innovation, but some public infrastructure agencies are cooperating with these and other entities to monitor progress and develop innovative applications of their own.

Such an initiative is the Colorado Department of Transportation’s RoadX program, which, as the department’s Executive Director, Michael Lewis, explains, provides seed funding to entities or ventures to develop connected vehicle infrastructure. It is an example of what 63 percent of our surveyed citizens could have in mind in their belief that “the private sector should be more involved in infrastructure development.”

5G mobile networks will be integral to enabling all such technologies to operate effectively in city environments, according to Derrick Pang, Chief Executive Officer of Allied Asia Infrastructure. Today’s 4G networks will not be enough to support the data traffic the new technologies will generate and the applications they give rise to, he says. 5G services should be available in most of our study’s focus cities by 2020.

Other experts say that the most impactful infrastructure-related innovations in the coming years will be those that enhance personal convenience. According to Veninika Siranosian, Los Angeles-based Vice President of AECOM Ventures, the company’s innovation team, there will be digital technologies that will “make people better aware of how they can access and use infrastructure and services.” Lewis describes these intuitive technologies, which enable people to select and pay for their journey using a few simple steps, as “citizen nirvana. Mobility as a Service (Maas) fits this description, says Gene Soo, Hong Kong General Manager of Citymapper, an award-winning transportation app and service provider. A Maas platform integrates different forms of transport, often provided by different public or private operators, into a single service that users can access and pay for on their mobile devices. European cities such as Helsinki and Stockholm are leading the way with Maas; Soo expects pilots to be rolled out in the coming years in Singapore. “Our ‘Integrated Mobility Continues its Expansion in Singapore’ on page 105,” he says, “is an example of what 63 percent believe the public sector should be more involved in infrastructure development.”

The Infrastructure Satisfaction Index


Scores for satisfaction, engagement, innovation, and resilience are based on a 1–10 scale, with four bands:

- 10–7.6 Very satisfied
- 7.5–5.1 Moderately satisfied
- 5.0–2.6 Not very satisfied
- 2.5–1.0 Dissatisfied

LARA POLONI, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, EMEA, AECOM

TECHNOLOGY IS NEEDED TO HELP ENHANCE EXISTING CAPACITY, CERTAINLY IN THE SHORT TERM, BECAUSE THERE’S A LIMIT TO THE FUNDING THAT’S AVAILABLE TO ADDRESS THE WISH LISTS OF MOST CITIES. WE NEED TO ASK HOW CAN TECHNOLOGY HELP US TO GET MORE OUT OF LESS?

— LARA POLONI, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, EMEA, AECOM
THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE

TO FIBER OR WI-FI, FOR EXAMPLE, CAN ENHANCE EQUITY. FOR EXAMPLE. ENSURING EVERYONE HAS SOME ACCESS ARE ABLE, TO ACCESS SERVICES VIA MOBILITY PLATFORMS, AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE. NOT ALL CITIZENS WANT, OR CITIES MUST ALSO ENSURE EQUITY IN ACCESS TO DIGITAL INFRASTRUCTURE — IS UBIQUitous IN HONG KONG AND Singapore AND WIDELY AVAILABLE IN London, ALTHOUGH, ACCORDING TO respondents, it is less so in Sydney, New York and other focus cities.

New ways of paying for public services is a particularly active area of innovation. More than four in 10 respondents overall (43%) say their main provider of public transportation makes available innovative payment options depending on time of day or usage. Over one-half (52%) pay for transport or other utilities using a mobile app. Nearly the same number (49% overall, and 78% in London) now use a contactless debit or credit card to pay fares.

It is a rich period of growth and diversification in new payment systems for public transport, says Peter Leung, General Manager of Operations Projects with Hong Kong’s MTR (Mass Transit Railway) Corporation. Within a few years, though, he expects convergence around the few that are able to build a critical mass of users rapidly.

When asked about the advanced technologies being deployed in cities today, nearly half (47%) of survey respondents say that charging stations for electric car batteries are available, while 49 percent can install digital electricity meters in their homes, and 40 percent have access to digital water meters. On all three of these measures, London’s figures are highest. High-speed fiber-optic broadband — a fundamental element of modern digital infrastructure — is ubiquitous in Hong Kong and Singapore and widely available in London, although, according to respondents, it is less so in Sydney, New York and other focus cities.

New ways of paying for public services is a particularly active area of innovation.

SMART TECHNOLOGY IN ACTION

When asked about the advanced technologies being deployed in cities today, nearly half (47%) of survey respondents say that charging stations for electric car batteries are available, while 49 percent can install digital electricity meters in their homes, and 40 percent have access to digital water meters. On all three of these measures, London’s figures are highest. High-speed fiber-optic broadband — a fundamental element of modern digital infrastructure — is ubiquitous in Hong Kong and Singapore and widely available in London, although, according to respondents, it is less so in Sydney, New York and other focus cities.

New ways of paying for public services is a particularly active area of innovation.

CONTACTLESS DEBT OR CREDIT CARD MOBILE APP SMART CARD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>Contactless debit or credit card</th>
<th>Mobile app</th>
<th>Smart card</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LONDON</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUMBAI</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SINGAPORE</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYDNEY</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIYADH</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHICAGO</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TORONTO</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONG KONG</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEW YORK</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOS ANGELES</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITIES MUST ALSO ENSURE EQUITY IN ACCESS TO DIGITAL AND OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE. NOT ALL CITIZENS WANT, OR ARE ABLE, TO ACCESS SERVICES VIA MOBILITY PLATFORMS, FOR EXAMPLE. ENSURING EVERYONE HAS SOME ACCESS TO FIBER OR WI-FI, FOR EXAMPLE, CAN ENHANCE EQUITY.

VERONICA SIRANOSIAN, VICE PRESIDENT, AECOM VENTURES

INTEGRATED MOBILITY CONTINUES ITS EXPANSION IN SINGAPORE

Singapore has long been a leader in developing and using public transportation. An impressive 84 percent of survey respondents use public transportation as their primary mode of travel, of which 55 percent take the subway.

The Land Transport Authority (LTA) together with the Intelligent Transportation Society Singapore (ITS), recently introduced a strategic plan called ‘Smart Mobility 2030’, paving the way for a more connected transportation system through innovative and interactive smart mobility solutions.

Helping facilitate this is an existing open-source platform for real-time, transport-related datasets and APIs that help create personalized trips across multiple transportation modes.

Three key strategies and four focal areas have been identified to help Singapore realize its intelligent-transportation vision.

/ The first strategy involves implementing innovative and sustainable smart-mobility solutions for diverse travelers, and using intelligent data analytics to facilitate better travel planning and transport management.
/ The second strategy entails sharing accurate transport data, as well as the development and adoption of intelligent transportation system (ITS) standards to ensure overall system efficiency.
/ The third strategy seeks to establish partnerships and collaborations between public and private sectors, and heighten awareness of ITS in the industry and with the public.

Anchored on four key focal areas, namely informative, interactive, assistive and green mobility, the ‘Smart Mobility 2030’ initiative will leverage highly reliable data to provide more intelligent transport-related services and convenience, with advanced features to assist travelers with their daily commute. It will also help to streamline operational processes, and create a more sustainable environment.

/ The first strategy involves implementing innovative and sustainable smart-mobility solutions for diverse travelers, and using intelligent data analytics to facilitate better travel planning and transport management.
/ The second strategy entails sharing accurate transport data, as well as the development and adoption of intelligent transportation system (ITS) standards to ensure overall system efficiency.
/ The third strategy seeks to establish partnerships and collaborations between public and private sectors, and heighten awareness of ITS in the industry and with the public.

Anchored on four key focal areas, namely informative, interactive, assistive and green mobility, the ‘Smart Mobility 2030’ initiative will leverage highly reliable data to provide more intelligent transport-related services and convenience, with advanced features to assist travelers with their daily commute. It will also help to streamline operational processes, and create a more sustainable environment.
GOVERNMENT AND INDUSTRY NEED TO DO MUCH BETTER AT DETAILING HOW CITIZENS’ DATA IS BEING USED TO DELIVER SPECIFIC IMPROVEMENTS. WHEN WE CAN DEMONSTRATE THAT, PEOPLE WILL BECOME MORE WILLING TO SHARE THEIR DATA.

— ANDREW CARRUTHERS, END MARKET EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR — INFRASTRUCTURE AND ENVIRONMENT, ASIA PACIFIC, AECOM

Data in the driver’s seat

Data collection and analysis lie at the heart of almost all forms of innovation in city infrastructure and services. Working with private- and public-sector partners, including private infrastructure owners and operators, city agencies are putting advanced technologies to work to improve their data capabilities. Internet-of-things (IoT) sensors in bridges, roads, traffic lights and railway infrastructure transmit ever-growing volumes of data about performance, erosion and possible maintenance needs. Advanced analytics, increasingly guided by artificial intelligence (AI), help agencies better understand user behavior in transportation and utility consumption.

Citizens understand the connection between data and good public services. Almost one-half (46%) of survey respondents are happy to share their personal data with city agencies to help them improve city infrastructure or public services. That sentiment is strongest in Mumbai and Riyadh (66% and 56% of respondents, respectively), but is also strong in places such as London (47% agreement), which has several years of experience in using commuter data, including to deliver innovative transport services (see ‘London is Open for Data’ on page 107). Government open-data platforms are part of the formula to develop better infrastructure and services. Most of the mobile apps and services discussed in this report could not exist without anonymized data being made available through such platforms. But they must be open to individuals as well as businesses.

AECOM’s innovation specialist Veronica Sinarsson believes such platforms can be a useful engagement tool for cities. Los Angeles, for example, maintains an open-budgeting platform where people can see how the infrastructure budget is being spent and how projects are performing against key metrics. **“Having that information enables people to evaluate, question, and participate in a more-informed way in government,”** she says.  

PEOPLE ARE LESS MATERIALISTIC. TIME IS THE ASSET THAT THEY REALLY VALUE. YOUNGER GENERATIONS ARE WILLING TO SHARE THEIR DATA IN RETURN FOR GETTING THE OPTIMUM TRAIN TO CATCH. IN TERMS OF COMFORT AND SPEED OF THEIR JOURNEY.

— STUART HARVEY, MAJOR PROJECTS DIRECTOR, TRANSPORT FOR LONDON

Transport for London (TfL), the local government body with overall responsibility for underground, bus and rail systems in the U.K. capital, is a serial innovator in public-service delivery. Its most renowned innovations are the Oyster payment card, introduced in 2003, and, later, the use of contactless credit and debit cards to pay for underground, bus and rail travel. **

TfL’s open-data initiative, started in 2009, is less celebrated than the Oyster card, but possibly just as impactful. According to accounting firm Deloitte, 42 percent of London commuters use one or more of 600 mobile apps that were built using TfL data. This data was made available to developers in application programming interfaces (APIs) and other types of data feeds. Some of the services originally built using TfL data have gone global, such as Citymapper. Deloitte estimates that TfL’s open-data practices generate economic benefits of up to US$166 million annually for itself, the city and travelers. **Among the ways the agency plans to keep that figure growing is to make its API platforms commercially available, and to make its data-sharing expertise available to other organizations in the same way that it has exported its contactless capabilities.** **

PROPORTIONS OF CITIZENS WHO ARE HAPPY TO SHARE PERSONAL DATA WITH RELEVANT CITY AGENCIES.

www.silicon.co.uk/projects/public-sector/tfl-licenses-contactless-payment-cards-to-transport-for-london


18 Among the ways the agency plans to keep that figure growing is to make its API platforms commercially available, and to make its data-sharing expertise available to other organizations in the same way that it has exported its contactless capabilities.

19 http://openbudget.lacity.org/#/year/default
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Part Three

FUTURE PRIORITIES: MAKE IT GREEN, EFFICIENT, FLEXIBLE AND SAFE

Two priorities stand out for residents of our 10 cities when thinking about future infrastructure: upgrading public transportation and enhancing environmental sustainability. A cleaner environment is paramount in cities where air quality has been notoriously poor, such as Hong Kong, Mumbai and Los Angeles. Almost half of respondents (45% overall, and 64% in Hong Kong) believe other city governments are doing a better job than their own in fostering environmentally sustainable practices.

However, new investment is not the only answer to road and transport network issues, says Andrew Carruthers, End Market Executive Director, Infrastructure and Environment, Asia Pacific, AECOM. He believes that Sydney’s transport infrastructure needs a rethink. “We inherited [a transport infrastructure] that focuses on moving people from the outer suburbs into the CBD (central business district) and back. But people are becoming resistant to this, as their commute gets longer and more uncomfortable. We need to move away from this CBD-centric infrastructure toward one that connects several mini cities within the city.”

When asked to name the technologies that will have a big impact on their future quality of life, survey respondents ranked solar power a close second behind high-speed fiber-optic broadband.
Planning for the future

Infrastructure planning also needs to become more adaptable, says Carruthers. “Long-term planning was fine when rates of change were relatively slow, but change is much faster now. We must create the ability to build infrastructure for a shorter time horizon and factor adaptability into it.”

For Siranosian, adaptability could mean building multi-use infrastructure that uses data to respond to real-time needs. She cites the example of curbsides that are used for commercial vehicles during the day, but could become small parking spaces or even dining areas in the evening.

Infrastructure adaptability and responsiveness become possible when planners adopt agile practices (a methodology originally developed to guide software development), believes Rob Meikle, Chief Information Officer of the City of Toronto. “We’re using more-agile approaches in concept development and testing,” he explains. “As a result, we are able to get projects done faster in smaller pieces, which also allows us to validate the return on investment and benefits, and then scale accordingly afterwards.”

We asked: in your city, which of the following improvements to infrastructure are the most important to you for the future, and which are the least important?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Improvement</th>
<th>Importance Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiber-optic broadband</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar power</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast rail connections to airport</td>
<td>11.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart (digital) electricity meters installed in homes</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile payment channels</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind power</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smart (digital) water meters installed in homes</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric car infrastructure (e.g. battery charging stations)</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driverless vehicles</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social media payments channels</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual / augmented reality</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each value is an impact score out of 100, where for example a score of 20 is twice as important as 10.

LOS ANGELES METRO’S ‘EXTRAORDINARY’ APPROACH TO PLANNING

Recognizing the limitations of long-term planning in an age of rapid technological change, Los Angeles Metro created an Office of Extraordinary Innovation (OEI). Set up in 2015, the OEI is more than a planning office; it acts as the transportation authority’s in-house innovation agency, with a 10-person team whose remit is to incubate and implement innovative ideas for Metro.²⁰

The OEI invites proposals from the private sector to develop technology-based solutions to the city’s transportation challenges. A current focus is on projects to develop shared mobility and mobility-on-demand services. It also takes on ‘extraordinary’ projects, such as one to develop a gondola that would ferry 5,000 baseball fans an hour to and from Dodger Stadium.²¹

The OEI is among the first ventures of its kind to be set up within the transportation agency of a city in the United States. Larger agencies typically develop projects involving long-term capital investment in major infrastructure such as tunnels and bridges. Few are set up to solicit proposals and develop pilots for smaller projects with the private sector. The OEI could provide a model for other American cities, and beyond, looking to exploit fast-developing transportation technologies and services.

²¹ www.wired.com/story/dodger-gondola-bust-traffic/
Resilient infrastructure
Resilience is another critical requirement in urban infrastructure. One facet of resilience is environmental sustainability, which is high on the list of citizens’ infrastructure priorities. Better air quality and more green space contribute to perceptions of an improved quality of life, but sound environmental practices can also help cities deal with the damaging effects of climate change. Citizens also expect their city authorities to ensure the protection of infrastructure against more-immediate threats, such as natural disasters (e.g. earthquakes and flooding), terrorist attacks and cyberattacks.

While survey respondents express a moderate degree of satisfaction with the resilience of their city’s infrastructure, there is some disquiet when it comes to cybersecurity. Just under one-third of respondents (32%) may have confidence in their city government’s ability to protect infrastructure against cyberattacks, but more (36%) do not.

In Singapore, they’ve created green spaces between the traffic and pedestrians: four or five-foot wide with tropical plants growing. It just makes the whole place feel that much more livable and comfortable.

— Sir John Armit, Chair of the U.K.’s National Infrastructure Commission

42 percent say the amount of open green space in their city has expanded in the past two years.

Collaboration and engagement are the keys to promoting resilience in Sydney

The 100 Resilient Cities (100RC) initiative is helping cities around the world to develop and deliver city resilience strategies. As part of AECOM’s global partnership with 100RC, and following our work in developing key resilience strategies across Asia Pacific, we worked with the City of Sydney to create the Sydney Resilience Strategy. Launched in July 2018, this strategy resulted from two years of effort, comprising technical studies and a comprehensive engagement process with more than 1,000 people from business, government and local communities.

Resilient Sydney set global benchmarks for integrating resilience and disaster preparedness into city planning and investment across the 100RC network, with recognition for an exceptional level of metropolitan-wide collaboration and engagement. Positive feedback from stakeholders focused on: an improved understanding of resilience; increased understanding of the role of businesses and asset/infrastructure owners and operators in contributing to city-wide resilience; and, inclusivity and diversity in collaboration and engagement.

The strategy presents a five-year plan with five directions and ‘flagship actions’, including the Western Sydney ‘Turn Down The Heat urban heat strategy authored by AECOM. AECOM is supporting the implementation of the ‘Sydney Resilience Commitment’, which calls for 100 organisations across Sydney to commit to making adaptive, integrated planning and action central to their governance for managing disruptions. We are working with Kyoto, Chicago, and Oahu to launch their strategies this year, joining Bangkok, Christchurch, Wellington and Melbourne in implementing city-wide resilience actions.

IN SINGAPORE, THEY’VE CREATED GREEN SPACES BETWEEN THE TRAFFIC AND PEDESTRIANS: FOUR OR FIVE-FOOT WIDE WITH TROPICAL PLANTS GROWING. IT JUST MAKES THE WHOLE PLACE FEEL THAT MUCH MORE LIVABLE AND COMFORTABLE.

— Sir John Armit, Chair of the U.K.’s National Infrastructure Commission
Part Four

CALLS TO ACTION: SIX LESSONS FOR MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

1/ BUILD ON CITIZENS’ GOODWILL

City authorities should not be surprised at the relatively positive attitudes that residents hold about the state of local infrastructure and services. But poor engagement can quickly change the mood. City officials, infrastructure agencies, utility providers and business stakeholders need to ensure greater strategic engagement to secure and maintain citizens’ support during projects, and following completion.

2/ BE PATIENT, CREATIVE AND OPEN

Gaining public acceptance of projects — and sustaining people’s interest throughout implementation — involves time and money, but it will be well spent if objections are seen to be addressed. Creative use of digital, as well as traditional, channels of interaction must be the norm. Authorities must be up front with citizens about the costs and the benefits of projects.

3/ BUILD CONFIDENCE THROUGH TRANSPARENCY

Citizens are willing to share their personal data to help bring about improvements in city infrastructure and public services. City agencies not only need to reassure citizens that their data is being handled with care, but they need to show them how their data is contributing to specific improvements. Citizens also need to know more about how their city infrastructure is being made resilient against various types of threats.

4/ LEARN TO SHARE

Aspiring ‘smart’ cities benefit from exchanging data and ideas with companies, application developers and other innovators. City governments should ensure that their open-data platforms contain data of relevance and use that is kept up-to-date and user-friendly for individuals as well as private-sector and other organizations.

5/ TAP INTO THE PUBLIC’S GREEN IMPULSES

Citizens make it clear that sustainability of their urban environment is very important to them. Prioritizing solar power and other green technologies is, of course, important. But individual residents and community groups can also be sources of useful ideas for improvements in areas such as air quality and green space. And with public interest high, creative interaction with residents on green initiatives can also boost engagement levels.

6/ ADAPT TO THRIVE

Long-term planning is not going away, but rapid technology and demographic changes mean that opportunities for improving infrastructure can emerge at any time and be met at speed. Planners who adopt an agile approach can test, design and scale projects at pace and engage more deeply with citizens.
Most of us take for granted the infrastructure systems that make our modern lives possible. We’ve become accustomed to infrastructure occasionally falling short of what we need. It doesn’t have to be like this. AECOM Chairman and CEO Michael S. Burke believes a knowledgeable and engaged public can be a key partner in delivering modern infrastructure.

Too often, we only notice infrastructure when something goes wrong. Apart from being an inconvenience, congested roads, overcrowded rail services, power outages, flooding, and cyberattacks cost us billions of dollars every year. There is an urgency in finding new, creative solutions to deliver modern infrastructure systems, but it can only happen with an engaged and supportive public.

A market-based approach to infrastructure investment presupposes an informed and active electorate. Working with the private sector and government, people must have access to data and tools to help them better understand how infrastructure ‘works’ and is financed. Our cities are too dependent on infrastructure systems for those most affected by them to just accept things the way they are. This is especially true when service levels are subpar or when urbanization poses new stresses.

What’s more, as our Future of Infrastructure report findings point out, the public is interested in being more fully engaged. Providers can best address long-term infrastructure needs by better involving the public in three key ways: knowledge — providing greater transparency, primarily by making infrastructure data widely available; understanding — of infrastructure broadly and of how it is funded and financed, and engagement — encouraging the public to join the discussion through planning, advocacy and politics.

As infrastructure data abounds, there is a need to leverage this rich material to improve our networks and systems, to inform public discussion about needs and procurement, and to improve government decision-making and accountability. Infrastructure agencies should make as much data as possible publicly available so that constituencies including academia, think tanks, and the private sector can convert it into actionable information. Among the areas where access to wider data can make a positive difference:

/ Quality of life. Performance reports on critical infrastructure can provide public sector officials, planners, and the public with a reference point for measuring impact. For example, traffic data can be analyzed to quantify the true economic cost of road congestion, possibly supporting the case for investment in new transit or road infrastructure.

/ Budget clarity. Governments face growing obligations, such as debt service and pension funding. This means that less capital is available for infrastructure operations and maintenance, which leads to reduced service levels. An informed public needs to know this and understand available solutions.

/ Project governance and accountability. Too often, and for numerous reasons, major infrastructure projects come in late and over budget. With meaningful data from previous projects, accountability would be enhanced. Everyone involved in delivering new projects would be able to make realistic assumptions.

/ Innovation. Entrepreneurs have developed smart city technologies using data collected from critical infrastructure systems. More data leads to greater innovation. For example, flow rates through water utility mains have been used to develop leak-detection systems, while transmissions from internet-of-things sensors on LED street lights alert cities to outages.

/ Private investment in public infrastructure. Investors in large-scale, privately financed developments need sufficient data to calculate the risk and reward inherent in their projects. For example, inferences from interpretation of road and air traffic data encouraged private investors to develop new inter-urban and high-speed rail and Hyperloop systems. Similarly, historical traffic data is a prerequisite of private investment in toll road concessions.

There is an urgency in finding new, creative solutions to deliver modern infrastructure systems, but it can only happen with an engaged and supportive public.

Michael S. Burke, Chairman and CEO, AECOM

Specialist consultant Clive Lipshitz contributed to this article.

PILLAR I/
KNOWLEDGE: AWARENESS OF THE PROBLEM

Entrepreneurs have developed smart city technologies using data collected from critical infrastructure systems. More data leads to greater innovation. For example, flow rates through water utility mains have been used to develop leak-detection systems, while transmissions from internet-of-things sensors on LED street lights alert cities to outages.
PILLAR 2/
UNDERSTANDING: HOW INFRASTRUCTURE IS FUNDED AND FINANCED

At the heart of most conversations about infrastructure is a discussion on how best to pay for it. With price tags for major transportation, power, and wastewater projects running into billions of dollars, it is important to understand how infrastructure is funded and financed. Most citizens only confront these questions when they see their utility bills rise or are asked to approve infrastructure funding measures at the polls.

For governments, translating complex funding and financing models for the public can be daunting, but it is a critical step in boosting understanding as new models gain traction. Transparency, in particular, is an important concern. For example, any public-private partnership discussion must include the true implications for lifetime costs and risk-transfer characteristics. That’s not an easy conversation. It is difficult to introduce private financing to infrastructure that does not have a secure source of funding in the form of tolls, tariffs, or other user fees. Sometimes, such as in the case of a seawall, there is no obvious revenue source and innovative financing techniques need to be considered.

Greater understanding about financing options expand the toolbox of potential capital solutions, such as:

- **Asset recycling**, which uses proceeds from the sale of existing assets to finance new development. This model is understood and implemented in Australia. It is not yet used in the U.S.
- **Value capture** is another under-appreciated and misunderstood financing technique: it leverages the value of property made viable by new infrastructure, such as a subway line extension, to finance that new infrastructure.
- **Tax increment financing** earmarks incremental property tax revenues to service debt incurred to develop new transit infrastructure.
- **Better asset management** Municipalities own substantial properties that are often underutilized. With more proactive asset management, cities could extract significant value that can be invested in infrastructure.
- **The Canadian experience** There is much value in learning from Canada, which has established an infrastructure bank and pioneered direct investment in infrastructure, including greenfield projects, through public pension plans.

PILLAR 3/
ENGAGEMENT: POLITICS, PLANNING, AND PUBLIC ADVOCACY

While infrastructure delivery depends heavily on leadership from the public sector, there is an inherent conflict between the interests of those who control infrastructure assets (and public sector finances) and the interests of citizens whose lives are impacted by these assets.

In my view, a long-term perspective is essential in the case of capital-intensive monopolistic assets whose development is often irreversible (the physical location and layout of entire cities is effectively unchangeable once inter-urban and urban highways and local roads are developed).

One way to ease this conflict is through long-term planning by organizations independent of government that include representatives of major stakeholder groups. These municipal planning organizations can take an unbiased perspective, create long-term plans, and educate both the electorate and elected officials.

New York’s Regional Plan Association is a great example of an informed public advocating for change. A similar body could be established in other large metropolitan areas, and could share best practices, to everyone’s benefit.

Another example of knowledge leading to change is in Los Angeles County, which, with more than 10 million people, is by far the most populous county in the U.S. In 2016, after a three-year effort that placed a premium on public education, voters overwhelmingly approved Measure M, a dedicated sales tax that provides up to US$120 billion for future transit and road infrastructure needs.

An important consideration for long-term planners is the need to be realistic with the time horizon and the public’s ability to project into the future. It is inadvisable to make plans based on population trends and — more controversially — environmental models that peer too far into the future. For example, projections of coastal cities being under water 110 years from now are less likely to lead to action than are calls for coastal protection for the next 20 years.

Cities that meet the needs of their residents and listen to their voices are more likely to thrive than those that do not. This is as true with respect to infrastructure as it is with other urban systems such as public safety, healthcare, and education. An informed population can take a long-term perspective, advocate for its infrastructure needs, and is more likely to be supportive of new development.

And finally

It’s time for a truly knowledge-based and interdisciplinary approach to infrastructure. For too long, governments, financiers, engineers, and policy experts have operated in independent silos, and often without the benefit of an informed and educated public.

Effective policy development and implementation requires breaking down these artificial boundaries and bringing everyone to the table to operate from a common base of knowledge, develop integrated plans, and ensure complete accountability. This way, we will make sure that the combination of infrastructure innovation and delivery that leads to positive benefits is everyone’s business.
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HOW WELL DO YOU KNOW YOUR COMMUNITY?

People around the world say they feel excluded from discussions and decisions around their infrastructure services. They want more involvement in the whole process. According to stakeholder engagement champion Kelli Bernard, to shape future networks and systems, and ensure that major infrastructure projects get delivered on time and on budget, it is essential to work in partnership with your local community.

WHEN IT COMES TO

When it comes to discussions about infrastructure services, communities are feeling left out. According to the latest research in our Future of Infrastructure report, almost half the survey respondents of 10 major cities around the world said they had no opportunity to provide feedback to public transport providers on a whole range of issues from planning and pricing to schedules and sustainability. It is not surprising, then, that people say that many infrastructure services fail to meet their needs and expectations.

The good news is that a growing proportion of those involved with the planning and provision of infrastructure assets and services want to better engage with their customers. And there are many new tools and forums for improved collaboration.

Genuine stakeholder engagement and management are arguably the most important ingredients for successful project delivery. Time and time again, the long-term success of a project has been positively influenced by a proactive and inclusive approach. And yet, stakeholder engagement has often been regarded as a ‘check-the-box’ activity, with little or no investment or attention paid to it. There have been instances where the community is classified as a risk, and negative attitudes have become a costly obstacle to project delivery.

In addition, where the conversation has been handled badly, communities become unresponsive or adversarial due to their perception that project champions are not listening and that their feedback will not be considered. Thankfully, much has been learned from past mistakes and we are seeing impressive examples of best practices all around the world. One of the keys to success is for infrastructure professionals, public and private, to get closer to their communities, to understand people’s concerns and aspirations, and to transform potential adversaries into advocates and ambassadors.

WAYS TO WORKING CLOSER WITH COMMUNITIES

1/ BE GENUINE

Build trust and stakeholder buy-in by seeking input on what can (or will) be considered by the project team. Only ask questions on topics where you want to hear opinions — people will stop engaging if they think you aren’t listening. And be aware that some communities may have bad experiences of engagement that have left them wary. So it’s important to do your community history homework. Every major infrastructure project has detractors, and they may not get the results they desire, but people appreciate truthfulness and will be more apt to consider the project team’s perspective if they have an opportunity to engage in meaningful dialogue. Regularly reporting back what you have heard and how this feedback is helping shape proposals will help keep people on board.

2/ BE TIMELY

Know your audience and plan carefully for when best to engage them, it may be earlier than you think and should ideally be before major decisions have been reached. It is not about informing the community, but rather consulting and involving them. Decision makers must allow space for stakeholder input to inform the program/development. Policy makers should engage stakeholders before all decisions have been made or plans finalized. Link engagement opportunities directly to project milestones so that input to goal setting, planning direction, technical and financial analysis and decision making is captured to keep the project moving forward. Be willing and prepared to follow up often. And remember that some stakeholders may need more time than others to engage, and will require additional opportunities to provide feedback.

3/ BE INCLUSIVE

While inclusivity is a requirement in most major infrastructure projects around the world, it’s always worth considering how to extend the possibilities. Go to where your stakeholders are, and speak their language. Engage broadly by providing many different ways to learn about or be involved in the project. Respect people’s time by offering quick and easy ways to participate online, as well as more involved, hands-on meetings. Help people understand the proposals and issues using plain language and engaging visuals.

4/ BE INNOVATIVE

Grab people’s attention. Share your project’s story in visually interesting ways, and make your collaborations engaging. Cycling and walking tours, pop-up consultations, online interactive surveys and maps, and virtual reality simulations and gaming experiences are great ways to gather insights. And getting the word out does not require the same old humdrum approach — educational and fun videos, infographics, inviting displays, eye-catching notices and original artwork go a long way to enticing a diverse audience to get and stay involved.

5/ BE COMMITTED

You’re in it for the long haul. Rarely during large infrastructure developments is the need for stakeholder consultation and involvement short lived. Ensure you have a flexible plan and the resources to stay with the project throughout. Regularly measuring the effectiveness of engagement will make it possible to fine-tune your approach and gain the support needed to progress your project.
Helping to improve quality of life, the environment and community connectivity, the new rapid transit system for Waterloo Region in Ontario, Canada, has been an epic undertaking. Called ION, it involves 12 miles (19 kilometers) of track through two urban centers and requires upgrading or relocating more than 35 miles (56km) of underground utilities (i.e. storm, sewer and water.) On opening this year (2019) the system will provide invaluable links between important landmarks including a university, hospital, two city halls, a central station and sporting hubs. It also helps provide a framework for new, compact urban development.

We also set up a community working group (CWG) of community members who reflect the demographic characteristics of the area. Through a process called ‘deliberative multi-criteria assessment’ — a decision-making process for CWGs to understand the problem, evaluate potential solutions and select a preferred option — the CWG will investigate, assess and analyze proposed options for the plant upgrade and ocean outfall. The CWG’s recommendation will be presented to the council for consideration and be made public — this means the wider community will know the group’s recommendation. This approach is designed to build greater trust and understanding between the council and the community.

Together with the community work, AECOM is developing a concept design and environmental assessment for a deep ocean outfall and upgrade of the sewage treatment plant. The successful delivery of this phase will help to fast track the delivery of the project.

CASE STUDIES

Making connections

The future of infrastructure with updates. There has also been a website to provide the community has been incredibly varied, including working alongside GrandLinq and Contractors, was charged with was crucial to facilitating local daily life and community support.

Work on this extensive and complex undertaking was never easy or without its toll, to the business community and the approach, particularly in listening challenges along the way, it’s a mark

Our engagement team is working with the local Bega Valley Shire Council to address this challenge. The community wants 100 percent irrigation reuse rather than disposing effluent into the environment. A key communication challenge is explaining how and why 100 percent irrigation reuse is not possible. Not only are there land and demand constraints that prevent expansion of reuse, disposal is always needed at any sewage treatment plant.

Overcoming misinformation and communicating this complex topic to a large audience has required a comprehensive communication and engagement program. This program includes: in-depth briefing sessions with key stakeholders focusing on their specific concerns; information sessions for community members to have one-on-one conversations with the project team; and print and digital updates.

Weingarten (WGP) is a collaborative working group of relevant stakeholders. The CWG process for the CWG will investigate, assess and analyze proposed options for the plant upgrade and ocean outfall. The CWG’s recommendation will be presented to the council for consideration and be made public — this means the wider community will know the group’s recommendation. This approach is designed to build greater trust and understanding between the council and the community.

Together with the community work, AECOM is developing a concept design and environmental assessment for a deep ocean outfall and upgrade of the sewage treatment plant. The successful delivery of this phase will help to fast track the delivery of the project.

Collaborative working has been fundamental to the identification of several critical environmental solutions, which significantly reduce project risk.

Stronger together

In an ongoing project on Australia’s east coast, the New South Wales Environment Protection Authority has advised that the existing beach-face outfall and dunal eelification ponds at Merrimbula Sewage Treatment Plant are not sustainable. The proposed solution is for a deep ocean outfall and upgrade of the treatment plant. The community has a strong objection to an ocean outfall and does not trust its local council’s explanations of how and why an alternative is not possible.

The CWG’s recommendation will be presented to the council for consideration and be made public — this means the wider community will know the group’s recommendation. This approach is designed to build greater trust and understanding between the council and the community.

Together with the community work, AECOM is developing a concept design and environmental assessment for a deep ocean outfall and upgrade of the sewage treatment plant. The successful delivery of this phase will help to fast track the delivery of the project.

A Monumental effort

It is rare, indeed, to create new structures within a World Heritage Site (WHS). So, sensitive handling is required for the new twin-bored tunnel proposed past the WHS-listed Neolithic Stonehenge monument in the U.K. The two-mile (3.3-kilometer) underground tunnel is proposed to replace a busy highway that runs close by the monument. The benefits are to remove the intrusion of traffic and help conserve and enhance the historic site while also providing a positive legacy for nearby communities.

The project has a broad range of stakeholders with very different views on the proposed scheme. Our engagement work supported the design process by embracing the views of local landowners and residents, the local authority, statutory stakeholders, heritage and archaeological specialists, road users, and people involved in the local tourist industry. There were two key areas of activity: one involved substantial proactive engagement with directly affected landowners, statutory stakeholders, heritage and environmental interest groups and the local community to help inform ongoing design development.

Weingarten (WGP) is a collaborative working group of relevant stakeholders. The CWG process for the CWG will investigate, assess and analyze proposed options for the plant upgrade and ocean outfall. The CWG’s recommendation will be presented to the council for consideration and be made public — this means the wider community will know the group’s recommendation. This approach is designed to build greater trust and understanding between the council and the community.

Together with the community work, AECOM is developing a concept design and environmental assessment for a deep ocean outfall and upgrade of the sewage treatment plant. The successful delivery of this phase will help to fast track the delivery of the project.
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The second was delivery of statutory consultation and subsequent supplementary consultation to seek formal feedback on proposals prior to their finalization and submission for development consent.

Methods of engagement included establishing a series of working groups to focus on key technical issues (e.g. a Heritage Monitoring Advisory Group was established to provide critical input around design and archaeological surveys and methodologies for the environment and heritage impact assessments). Along with this were weekly multidisciplinary workshops to encourage direct conversation between design engineers, environmental specialists and key stakeholders; creation of a local community forum to provide local residents with a direct link to the project team; one-to-one meetings with directly affected landowners; and officer and councillor briefing sessions. This collaborative working has been fundamental to the identification of several critical environmental solutions, which significantly reduce project risk.

In addition to stakeholder engagement services, AECOM (through the New South Wales WSP Alliance) is providing engineering design, environmental services and procurement support.
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n the Gulf of Mexico, Hurricane Miguel is gathering strength. As it edges ever closer to the coast, this Category 4 storm is stacking up massive devastation for Houston. Predictions are for extensive flooding, tornadoes and storm surges that could reach up to 16 miles (26 kilometers) inland. As it makes landfall at Freeport, winds are recorded up to 155 miles (250km) per hour making emergency response impossible, and then reports come in of a potential cyberattack affecting important medical facilities, then Port Houston. Next come widespread power outages and communication errors for emergency services radio. The situation gains in intensity. For everyone in Houston and beyond who remembers the terrifying impacts of Hurricane Harvey in 2017, this fictitious scenario of Hurricane Miguel is all too real. But this time, the scenario also includes the additional element of a coordinated cyberattack.

The twin attack

This fictitious disaster was created as the focus of a real-life exercise involving 135 participants from Houston’s critical infrastructure sectors — transportation, energy, public utilities, telecommunications, education, emergency management, healthcare, and the military. Their task was to produce a united response to the unfolding disaster by working together to find solutions. Taking place over three days in the Houston Emergency Operations Center, the exercise simulated two simultaneous incidents — a natural disaster and a cyberattack. It examined the challenges those incidents placed on critical infrastructure including assessing response capability, agency collaboration, communications interoperability, and military integration.

Called Jack Voltaic 2.0 (JV2.0), this research project was led by the Army Cyber Institute at West Point in partnership with AECOM as the private-sector lead and the City of Houston. JV2.0 built on the inaugural JV1.0 exercise held in 2016 near New York City. The aim of JV2.0 was to improve preparation for and response to cyberattacks by building partnerships in an innovative, bottom-up approach to infrastructure resilience by enhancing Army research along with local readiness. Primarily, JV2.0 studied the interconnection of critical infrastructure, assessing gaps in cybersecurity capabilities and the impact of physical infrastructure degradation on an interconnected, networked environment (and vice versa). One of the core dilemmas and challenges faced by every community, business and city today is that with increasing network connectivity comes vulnerability. 

For the first time in the U.S., a major city has undertaken a live twin-disaster-simulation exercise to help forge plans to strengthen the resilience of critical infrastructure. Highlights and learnings from the three-day simulation are recounted here by leading participants from the City of Houston — Larry Satterwhite, George Buenik, Jack Hanagriff, Mel Bartis and Mike Bell.
Here, five key participants describe their experiences of how the Houston exercise unfolded, and their key takeaways ...

**LARRY SATTERWHITE**
Assistant Chief, Houston Police Department

**GEORGE BUENIK**
Director of Public Safety and Homeland Security, City of Houston

**JACK HANAGRIF**
Law Enforcement Liaison, City of Houston

**MEL BARTIS**
Deputy Emergency Management Coordinator, City of Houston

**MIKE BELL**
Chief Technology Officer, Houston Police Department

**EXERCISE ROLES AND OBJECTIVES**

My daily role with Homeland Security means I am constantly dealing with threats both domestic and foreign where I have to look to the available resources, assess the issues, provide guidance and act. It was similar in this case for the hurricane component of the exercise because we have been through it before, most recently with Hurricane Harvey. However, this time we had the added complexity of the cyberattack. Immediately we were forced to think differently — how do we do this? How do we adjust?

Larry Satterwhite

I’ve been involved in major event planning now for 15 years, with everything from hurricanes to hosting the Super Bowl, and one of my objectives here was to understand more about cyber. We carry out a tabletop exercise for hurricane planning every year, but this combined physical and cyber event was something new.

George Buenik

I was part of the exercise planning team, and my job was to look at our strengths, and our areas for improvement, and to make recommendations. The most important objective for me was to get tangible knowledge to better inform our day-to-day operations.

Mel Bartis

From my point of view, this was a great opportunity, particularly in identifying any communications gaps. The event was realistic and enjoyable as we learned more through the exercise about the unfolding events and each other.

Mike Bell

**EXPECTATIONS AND ANTICIPATION**

We were all there to learn. So the expectation was to keep an open mind and pay attention. You do not want to miss anything, so you are constantly asking whether you know enough and how you can add value. We were amongst colleagues, so while confidence is a healthy thing, you also need to be open. No one can know everything so it is important to surround yourself with subject matter experts in relevant but diverse disciplines and solicit their input when time allows.

Larry Satterwhite

The exercise exceeded expectations in the way it was so realistic. The story started to unfold when we learned about the hurricane approaching. It was two days out and right away we were focused — we knew what we had to start doing.

George Buenik

Our city is good at handling the physical side of disasters, but cyber is a whole other issue. The expectation here was to build collaboration and involve all the city sectors from energy and water suppliers to emergency services and the military. In these types of major events, everyone shares the same pain points.

Jack Hanagriff

My expectations were that we would be able to bring together a large number of people from a wide range of sectors and address all of our objectives. Thankfully, we achieved exactly that. In addition, it was impressive to see how robust some sector cybersecurity planning is. People really are leaning forward and developing good strategies.

Mel Bartis

We have very good and longstanding relationships with many of the participants, but it was extremely helpful to build new contacts in areas such as the university and energy providers. In terms of anticipation there was certainly some adrenaline flowing.

Mike Bell

**LARRY SATTERWHITE**
Assistant Chief, Houston Police Department

**GEORGE BUENIK**
Director of Public Safety and Homeland Security, City of Houston

**JACK HANAGRIF**
Law Enforcement Liaison, City of Houston

**MEL BARTIS**
Deputy Emergency Management Coordinator, City of Houston

**MIKE BELL**
Chief Technology Officer, Houston Police Department
THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE
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Mel Bartis

There were several lightbulb moments for me. One in particular was when the
university campus messaging system was compromised. That was the moment
when the exercise switched into a public safety scenario. If you lose control of public
messaging there is a significant escalation in the magnitude of your challenges. If
you lose social media, that exacerbates all the problems.

Mike Bell

This exercise really opened my eyes. We
are used to dealing with things like power
cutages during hurricanes, but now I know to think broader about the potential causes.
In the future, we’ll always question whether there is a cyber component in there.

George Buenik

In a military attack, the plan is to knock
out communications, then the command
center, followed by water and energy.

With a cyberattack, those same things
may happen, but cyber is silent and you
don’t see it happen. The breakthrough for
me is that to beat this hidden enemy, all the agencies need to talk more and
share knowledge.

Jack Hanagriff

Highlight points for me were to get exposure to
the many different technologies and tools
that people are using. I also learned a lot
about military capabilities in relation to
cyber. They were impressive.

Mel Bartis

There were several lightbulb moments for me. One in particular was when the
university campus messaging system was compromised. That was the moment
when the exercise switched into a public safety scenario. If you lose control of public
messaging there is a significant escalation in the magnitude of your challenges. If
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Mike Bell

It’s good to see that better relationships are being developed, but we can all improve,
particularly when it comes to including cyber in continuity planning.

Mel Bartis, Deputy Emergency Management Coordinator, City of Houston

We are always battling silos and trying to break down barriers to communication and process and planning. We still have a long way to go. We work hard and well with our regular stakeholders, and among the greatest outcomes of this exercise were the relationships we built that day in that room. We are always getting better at understanding each other and knowing how to work together. When we collaborate we can overcome a lot of hurdles.

Larry Satterwhite

We work well with our county, federal and state partners, but here we also opened up to thinking about who else we could collaborate with. In times of need you don’t want to be starting with introductions, so it’s all about building and maintaining relationships.

George Buenik

It takes time to build confidence and trust, but at a time when we are all so reliant on our partners, we need to be talking more.

Jack Hanagriff

Very often, resilience plans are segmented within organizations and there is a disconnect between IT departments and the emergency managers. It’s good to see that better relationships are being developed, but we can all improve, particularly when it comes to including cyber in continuity planning.

Mel Bartis

SIX STEPS TOWARDS IMPROVING INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCE

While it’s not possible to predict or avoid all hazards or threats, they can be managed. AECOM has developed a six-step framework and approach to reduce the risk and impact of an event and speed the recovery, which dramatically reduces the cost in terms of physical, social and economic loss. National preparedness and infrastructure protection enable government at all levels, the private sector, and non-governmental organizations to work together to prepare for, prevent, respond to, recover from, and mitigate the effects of incidents regardless of cause, size, location, or complexity. In building a plan, we suggest six steps towards improving infrastructure resilience:

1. Act now
Integrate security into design

2. Think broad
Plan for the physical and digital

3. Team up
Collaborate across the organization

4. Prioritize
Identify critical infrastructure assets

5. Assess
Adapt and be ready

6. Take action
Start now

By John Esquivel, Director, National Preparedness & Infrastructure Protection, AECOM
john.esquivel@aecom.com
Vital to the nation’s economy for generations, the Ohio River has been the focus of epic feats of engineering and construction to ensure that this great waterway remains open for business. The latest upgrades have been delivered ahead of time and below budget by using innovative ways of working explain Lean specialist Sue Ann Averitte, productivity manager Brad Bell and project director Kevin McLaughlin.

The village of Olmsted in southern Illinois is a modest kind of place. While it is home to only some 300 souls, it has secured a substantial entry in the history books. Olmsted gives its name to the locks and dam which, thanks to a triumph of modern engineering and construction, have recently opened to replace the antiquated locks and dams 52 and 53 upstream of the project site. The necessity for this recent work was without question. The dilapidated old structures caused a significant bottleneck to the nation’s shipping industry, resulting in countless hours of delays. About 6,500 vessels move 90 million tons (81.6 million metric tons) of cargo a year through this area, including vital supplies of limestone, coal, corn and soybeans for the domestic and export markets. The traffic makes this stretch of the lower Ohio River the busiest inland waterway in the United States.

The estimated US$3 billion program cost is considered one of the nation’s most expensive, making the project one of the largest civil works ever undertaken by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). However, thanks to an innovative project approach and an efficient funding stream, the project advanced four years ahead of what was initially expected to be a 2032 completion. It also came in US$194 million under the 2012 cost estimate for the dam. The project is estimated to pay for itself within five years in terms of the economic benefits it delivers to the nation.

The opening chapter
Olmsted first achieved renown almost a century ago, two months before the Wall Street Crash. It was Tuesday, August 27, 1929 when President Herbert Hoover joined an illustrious party taking a cruise on the Ohio River. The occasion was to celebrate the opening of the Olmsted Dam and Lock 53, situated some 962 miles downstream from Pittsburgh. It marked the completion of the US$125 million improvement and canalization of the entire river. The president’s speech was prophetic, “While I am proud to be the president who witnesses the apparent completion of its improvement, I have the belief that some day new inventions and new pressures of population will require its further development.”

Fast forward 89 years to 2018, and once again on a late-summer day, a senior politician, this time Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell, took to the podium at the Olmsted locks and dam. “We’re celebrating that now, as then, we are a great nation that can undertake great works and write new chapters of our history together. Once fully operational, Olmsted will provide much-needed reliability and an average annual economic benefit of approximately US$640 million per year.”

This ‘in-the-wet’ approach involved creating massive precast concrete elements or shells — weighing up to 5,000 tons (4,500 tons metric) each, and measuring 100 feet wide by 100 feet long and 30 feet tall (approximately 30.5m by 30.5m, and 9.1m). The shells were transported on land to the river and placed on location using specialist equipment proposed by ARCOM, including a Super Gantry Crane, a Hydraulic Skidway System, and a Catamaran Crane Barge. Once set on the prepared foundation in up to 40-feet deep (approximately 12.2m) moving river, tremie concrete was pumped into the annulus area under the precast shells to form a continuous bond between the pipe piles, rebar, and the surface shell.

The project encountered numerous challenges — from delays in receiving funding, rising material costs, changes to the design, and unpredictable river conditions. Work fell behind schedule and ran over the initial authorized cost of US$640 million approved by Congress.
**THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE**

**ACCELERATED DELIVERY**

**DURING THE PAST COUPLE OF DECADES, LEAN USE HAS BECOME MORE POPULAR IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY. FIRMS ARE SEEING IMPROVEMENTS IN EFFICIENCY WHICH OFTEN RESULTS IN DELIVERING AHEAD OF SCHEDULE AND UNDER BUDGET.**

Sue Ann Averitte, Corporate Vice President, Global Quality, AECOM

It became clear midway through the project that action was required to bring the project back on track. USACE initiated preparation of a post-authorization change report (PACR) and AECOM proposed to implement a process improvement approach called Lean to execute the work.

**Making Olmsted Dam a Lean project**

Adapted from the car manufacturing industry, the Lean approach is rooted in a team culture designed to optimize efficiency and eliminate waste. Using this process at Olmsted in conjunction with USACE's ability to provide an optimal funding stream resulted in achieving the dam's operational milestones in 2018, four years ahead of schedule and approximately US$194 million under the 2012 PACR cost estimate for the dam. Illustrating the benefits of the Lean method, the average fee score for the five periods after Lean was deployed increased by 8 percent.  

During the past couple of decades, the use of the Lean method has become far more popular in the construction industry due to its advantages; firms adopting the Lean method are seeing improvements in efficiency, which often result in delivering ahead of schedule and under budget.

**At Olmsted Dam, the Lean approach was rolled out after training in 2011. The key to Lean's success is getting all team members involved with the project, collaborating and contributing to planning and delivery, creating a roadmap to achieve the project's operational goals of productivity, safety and quality. It is a cultural shift. Essentially, the people who do the work help plan the work, and are therefore more committed to delivery. Improved sequencing and the early identification and resolution of potential problems are just two of the significant benefits.**

About the authors

Sue Ann Averitte, Corporate Vice President, Global Quality, AECOM: sueann.averitte@aecom.com

Brad Bell, Senior Construction Engineer, AECOM: Brad has a particular skill in improving productivity. Bradley has worked on a variety of construction projects as project engineer. Bags are full of experience in this field. He started his career as a lead CAD operator. His firm fixed price has been recently awarded the Pacific region contract for water tunnels. He has previously worked on several projects in the power plant, light rail, roads and bridges and infrastructure projects.

Kevin McLoughlin, Executive Director, Vice President, AECOM: Kevin's work on major infrastructure and construction projects has included transportation, highways, and renewable energy projects. Experience includes project management, construction management, design oversight, constructability reviews, contracts management, construction engineering, construction, safety, quality, coordination with agencies and local jurisdictions. The projects he has worked on have been highly technically challenging and require significant coordination for successful execution.

**PLANNING THE PLAN**

This first step addresses long lead items, looking at the scope to determine which teams will be involved. Major milestones are set, masterplanning takes place and the project is stabilized. A comprehensive, yet easy-to-digest, document available as a reference guide for foremen and crew alike.

**ALIGNING THE WHOLE**

This stage takes place and the major activities and materials requirements are shared so that all trades work in conditions suited to their tasks and an overall phased schedule for the project is created.

**PHASE PLANNING**

This step starts with creating a plan that outlines each particular task in clear and simple detail on one page. Drafted collaboratively by project managers, lead members of the crew, engineers, and safety and quality inspectors, this document addresses each aspect of the project. These aspects include the human resources and materials requirements for its successful completion, which is summarized in a comprehensive, yet easy-to-digest, document available as a reference guide for foremen and crew alike.

**KEEPING ON TRACK**

A weekly meeting with supervisors and engineers is used to create a visual 3-4 week plan containing major activities and upcoming milestones, along with a highly detailed schedule for the next week's work. Importantly, the schedule for the coming week's work includes daily activities plus measurable goals, and is developed collaboratively by the tradespeople involved each day, team leaders, supervisors and superintendents take turns describing ongoing and upcoming work in their areas of operation during their regular meetings. They coordinate material and equipment and synchronize their work with the other trades scheduled for the same area immediately before and after their own teams.

**1/ 2/ 3/ 4/ 5/**

**STEPS IN THE LEAN PROGRAM**

**THE KEYS TO LEAN'S SUCCESS IS IN GETTING ALL TEAM MEMBERS INVOLVED WITH THE PROJECT COLLABORATING AND CONTRIBUTING TO PLANNING AND DELIVERY, CREATING A ROADMAP TO ACHIEVE THE PROJECT’S OPERATIONAL GOALS OF PRODUCTIVITY, SAFETY AND QUALITY.**

**AHEAD OF SCHEDULE AND UNDER BUDGET.**

---

1. Award the score — this is a method of calculating contractor payment. An award fee contract provides an additional portion of the fee that may be awarded above or at 100% based upon periodic evaluations of ongoing contractor-performance — https://corporate.jones.com/industry/performancemanagement.php?show=executive&source=contractors.pdf
In an increasingly digital world, we are all getting used to accessing what we want at the touch of a button — from new shoes to sushi. Transportation is no exception. Following the rapid expansion of transportation network companies (TNCs), such as Uber and Lyft, the app has become key to how millions of people move around, challenging fixed transit systems and timetables.¹ More recently, they’ve been joined by fleets of bikes and e-scooters too. This should be great news for travelers. But, as the U.S. ‘scooter wars’ of 2018 showed, our streets and sidewalks have become something of a battleground as everyone jostles for space.⁵⁻⁷

A bumpy ride

With their services launched in cities almost overnight, initial collaboration between TNCs, bike share, and e-scooter companies and city governments has been sparse to none. In response, city authorities have had to quickly develop policies and legislation to respond to and regulate these new transportation solutions and platforms. One issue is that these services can often add to cities’ congestion, pollution and other transportation challenges.⁴⁴ According to a recent study, ride-hailing apps generated an additional 5.7 billion miles of driving, often without passengers, in the most populated cities — an overall increase of 16% per trip.²

A new way to travel

A potential answer to this cities’ other transportation challenges is shared Mobility as a Service (Maas). This approach brings together individual Maas options — both public and private, such as trains, buses, ride-hailing and sharing schemes for cars, bikes and scooters, taxis, and shuttle/pods — for first and last mile connections to high-capacity, fixed-route service or point-to-point service when fixed-route service doesn’t exist or is insufficient — in one place; leveraging the latest digital innovations to provide an integrated point-to-point service to users accessible via a single mobile application (‘app’) and payment channel.

Cities transformed

Described as the ‘Spotify of transportation’, shared Maas approaches — which are being pioneered in cities, states and countries across the world — create the potential for consumers to shift from an ownership and/or single provider model of transportation use to paying for travel as a service. If done well, shared Maas can promote more sustainable decision making: enabling users to compare different transportation modes on costs, emissions and flexibility, and so on; and cities to incentivize, support and encourage travel behaviors that promote the long-term success of their citizens and city.⁴⁻¹⁰⁻¹¹ For example, an inclusivity-focused Maas approach could increase access to education, work and healthcare for groups sometimes underserved by public and private mobility solutions. This includes women, whose travel patterns don’t always match fixed routes and schedules, older people, and those with low incomes, with disabilities or living further out of town.¹²

Less stressful, more reliable and accessible transportation to get us where we need to go? Affordable housing and greater economic and social inclusion across your city? Bigger, greener community spaces? What’s not to like? Innovation specialist Veronica Siranosian and Stephen Engblom, global cities leader, discuss the potential for Mobility as a Service to transform urban spaces, improve citizens’ lives and revolutionize the way cities are planned and run.
THINK BIG AND PREPARE FOR THE FUTURE

Just like planning for other major public infrastructure projects, cities need to analyze and understand the mobility needs of individual communities. This includes identifying where and when people need to travel, the price they are willing to pay, the scope of the city’s current mobility approach to get them there, where gaps exist, and whether MaaS is a sustainable business model that could address the city’s and citizens’ needs.

This exercise will help inform a detailed mobility vision, including possible partners to enable delivery, and a phased plan to get there. As part of this, the city’s focus must shift from prioritizing individual trouble spots for urgent funding and action, e.g. a busy junction or train route (spot fixing), to building a seamless, equitable and efficient transportation system that optimizes users’ journeys and the city’s use of resources as a whole (multi-modal network optimization).

Beyond the funding, the biggest challenge is that city authorities will need to futureproof their plans and the policies, procurement guidelines and regulations that support them. Furthermore, consideration will be needed for the wider social, economic, environmental and public-health impacts of the proposed changes — big or small — on the community of users. For example, is the mobility package affordable and accessible to those who need it most?

Tackling the uncertainty

There is a great deal of uncertainty about how MaaS and other emerging technologies will continue to evolve over the coming decades, as well as the effects that customer acceptance, changing business models and shifting demographics and preferences could have. Building on our experience with technology development, travel-demand forecasting and long-range planning, AECOM has developed Mobilitics, a scenario-planning tool. It is designed to help agencies understand these uncertainties and the potential impact of new policies and technologies on the transportation system and other related concerns such as jobs, taxes, fees and vehicle ownership based on possible take up of new technologies.17

To get the full picture, cities need to take a more holistic approach to mobility planning. This includes bringing together from the start not just the usual experts on infrastructure, transportation and planning, but also specialists in data science, cybersecurity, environment, public health and socio-economic issues, as well as the user community. This should help to: mitigate any risks from planned changes ahead of implementation; ensure you deliver a system that works for everyone; and produce shared measures and key performance indicators equipped to flex with the tech and assess whether the system is meeting your objectives and users’ needs.

2/

BE FLEXIBLE, OPEN AND COLLABORATIVE

Public transit should be the core component of every city’s MaaS package. But opening up the door for private companies to come in and bring innovative new ideas is also a crucial step. Most mobility services are currently rolled out through individual apps and accounts, with Lyft, Uber and other TNCs only now beginning to integrate different modes across their own services. But we believe that cities could push for greater collaboration with private providers, including sharing data to create mutually beneficial partnerships and pilot programs, and leverage innovation and deeper insights into citizens’ mobility needs.

A number of city authorities, including Paris, London, Sydney, Seattle and Oslo, are already working to make their data more ‘interoperable and usable’ for third parties and transit users, by publishing open data sets online.23

Collaborations to facilitate MaaS will require cities and their partners to develop more flexible and integrated governance structures and partnership models, ranging from simple data-sharing agreements and common Application Program Interfaces (APIs) to connect disparate systems right up to integrated systems architecture. Cities will also need spaces for innovative ideas to be trialed.24

Collaborate to innovate

Capri is a 19-partner consortium — led by AECOM and including other public, private and research organizations — collaborating to deliver a pilot scheme that could pave the way for the practical use of connected and autonomous vehicles (driverless shuttles) to move people around airports, hospitals, business parks, shopping centers and other contained environments. The team is combining expertise and using practical trials to write the blueprint for a viable autonomous MaaS.20

3/

MAKE AND USE YOUR INFRASTRUCTURE SMARTER

Central to any MaaS framework is ensuring that your integrated transportation system will be able to connect and interact with the infrastructure that supports them. For example, if the demand for electric vehicles requires a reliable network of smart charging stations.22 Traditionally, cities have been designed and developed in a rigid way — a road is a road, a bus lane is a bus lane, and the sidewalk is the sidewalk. With shared, multi-modal spaces, such as New Road in Brighton, U.K. (where an over-congested road was redesigned to become a pedestrian-dominated space with vehicles), being rare, albeit transformative, examples.22 In the years ahead, the fixed purpose of many cities’ spaces will need to blur. In Chicago and San Francisco, for example, demand-responsive pricing for parking is securing valuable revenue for reinvestment in public transit and infrastructure.21 In the future, ‘flex zones’ could take an even-more-innovative curbside management approach.21 Instead of having fixed-parking zones or double yellow lines, cities could designate, clear and price to accommodate multiple transportation modes and to allow space for the day — for residents, deliveries and drop-offs, or fleets of shared vehicles to rent.23

17 https://www.aecom.com/without-limits/article/how-to-map-a-maas-blueprint-1
21 http://mobilitics.aecom.com/
23 https://www.aecom.com/without-limits/article/how-to-map-a-maas-blueprint-1

THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE
5. DATA IS KING. BUT PEOPLE MATTER MOST:

No matter how impressive the technologies or transportation services might be, their acceptance will require a major shift in attitudes and behavior. But cultural shifts take time, and some will resist it. Car ownership remains a deeply embedded behavior, built around public and private sector clients to develop and deliver healthy and sustainable cities. As Global Director of Cities at AECOM, Stephen and his team are dedicated to forward-thinking urban policy and development strategies that will shape the world’s cities to enhance the environment, equity, and economy of our cities.

Realizing the vision:
Transportation is shifting gear. Maas gives cities — currently operating with limited budgets and resources — the opportunity to move more of their citizens to and from existing transportation systems more efficiently; provide point-to-point, multi-modal services accessible via a single system; and partner with private companies. To make this a reality, cities need a clear, strategic vision, more flexible, sustainable and holistic approaches to urban planning, a focus on smart infrastructure and sustainable, efficient and affordable solutions, and greater collaboration and trust with public sector providers. Crucially, they need to show the public that Maas can work for them, making their city a better place to live, work and visit.

About the authors:
Veronica Siranosian, AIA, LEED GA, Vice President, AECOM
Veronica’s work focuses on advancing innovation in infrastructure planning, design and delivery. Within her background in public and private sector transportation and landscape planning, she works with clients to understand, proactively plan for, and track the future of mobility. Including micro-mobility, cities are de-carbonizing vehicle technologies, shared mobility, ride-hailing, vehicle as a service and the application of these solutions to promote the most equitable access to transportation.

Stephen Engblom, AIA, LEED AP, Senior Vice President, Global Director, Cities, Stephen is an architect with a global track record of working with public and private sector clients to develop and deliver healthy and sustainable cities. As Global Director of Cities at AECOM, Stephen and his team are dedicated to forward-thinking urban policy and development strategies that will shape the world’s cities to enhance the environment, equity, and economy of our cities.

Most of working with public and private sector clients to develop and deliver healthy and sustainable cities. As Global Director of Cities at AECOM, Stephen and his team are dedicated to forward-thinking urban policy and development strategies that will shape the world’s cities to enhance the environment, equity, and economy of our cities.

Promote the most sustainable, efficient, affordable and accessible solutions:

The revenue sharing deals made with private transportation providers will help determine the pricing structure and payment systems of any city-led MaaS package, and must aim to create a win-win situation for the city, private service providers, and users. If there is more than one agency collecting revenue, whether it is transit fares, congestion charges, curbside fees or tolls, they will want to allocate the revenue collected as soon as possible. Every organization involved in a MaaS scheme is going to be looking to minimize latency, and ensure they can secure the revenue they are owed quickly and efficiently.

The growing use of transportation payment systems, such as Apple Pay, for transit in cities like Beijing, Chicago, Moscow, and London should make revenue sharing easier. In an increasingly cashless society, the public is going to want private providers to develop innovative payment options. At the same time, transportation organizations will need to ensure their services are still accessible to those without bank cards, smartphones or an unlimited-data plan.

The pricing structure of your MaaS package should be designed in line with the city’s policy priorities, whether it is to smooth out traffic/transit flow during peak periods, improve air quality and promote environment-friendly options, expand access, increase ridership or ensure solutions are affordable to all. There needs to be a clear incentive for people to change their behaviors to choose the option that is the ‘smartest’ for them and meet the city’s objectives.
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All Change?

Infrastructure’s Next-Generation Workforce

How will infrastructure’s workforce transform the industry and deliver the networks and services of the future? Human resources leader Mary Finch explores the potential for change and aspirations for a growing focus on social purpose, flexibility, diversity and the use of emerging technologies as the norm.

By 2025, Millennials—individuals born between 1980 and 1996—will comprise three-quarters of the global workforce, while young professionals from Generation Z—those born from 1997 onwards—are just entering the workplace.¹ Identified as the ‘great disruptors,’ these first-generation digital natives have the drive to challenge traditional ways of working and build a better world.²³⁴ For these young people, change is more than a fact of life; it is an opportunity. Having grown up in a digitally enabled world, with industries transforming rapidly around them, the next-generation workforce admires those companies equipping themselves to compete in the Industry 4.0 era and looks to these organizations to help them succeed.⁵

In turn, with technological innovation outpacing human development, organizations, including those in the infrastructure sector, must find new ways to assess the evolving set of competencies needed to thrive in the new workplace. This is not just about identifying someone’s technical ability to do the job they have now well, but also their willingness to learn those skills required for the jobs of the future that do not yet exist.⁶

In addition, the increasing automation of routine tasks does not necessarily mean fewer jobs. People will still matter, and the very human skills of critical analysis, strategic thinking, communication and empathy will become even more valuable as new and different kinds of problem-solving roles emerge.⁷⁸

As an industry, we need to adapt to those skills required for the jobs of the future that do not yet exist.

For these young people, change is more than a fact of life; it is an opportunity. Having grown up in a digitally enabled world, with industries transforming rapidly around them, the next-generation workforce admires those companies equipping themselves to compete in the Industry 4.0 era and looks to these organizations to help them succeed.⁵

6. fortune.com/2019/10/03/themars-are-understood/
7. fortune.com/2019/10/03/themars-are-understood/
8. www.catapult.org/knowledge/working-real-infrastructure
WHAT INSPIRED YOU TO WORK IN INFRASTRUCTURE?
AJ. I wanted to study a multidisciplinary subject and build a career with a strong sense of duty and purpose — infrastructure felt that way even when I was young. It captured my imagination as one of the hallmarks of an advanced civilization, like the Roman aqueducts (or Wakanda’s vibranium trains).
AJ. Fascinated by machines since childhood, I got the opportunity to study architecture and specialized in urban planning. Multifaceted urban development programs are complex overlays of urban planning, urban design, sustainability, transportation and infrastructure, and inspired me to pursue this profession.
AJ. Infrastructure has a direct impact on economic growth and progress. Growing up in India, one particular aspect that caught my attention was the deficiency of water infrastructure in rural areas and how it impacted people’s lives. Access to clean water is a basic human right and the infrastructure industry is instrumental in delivering clean water.
AJ. In my role, I am open to wherever that takes me. As a young engineer, I want to work in a company that offers diverse experience and opportunities beyond my job description/responsibilities, such as working with a different business group, leading employee engagement activities or volunteering to create social impact. A focus on driving innovation also matters, because innovation stimulates creativity and boosts productivity.

HOW HAS YOUR TRAINING EQUIPPED YOU TO WORK IN INFRASTRUCTURE?
AJ. I majored in civil engineering (with a business minor) at the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, with internships in infrastructure advisory and hydraulic design, and ended up in urban development after graduation. Since then, I’ve been fortunate to be involved in design, contracts and tenders as well as supervised work onsite for a one-mile (1.5-kilometers) long elevated park. That’s what I like about my work — it’s so interdisciplinary. You can go anywhere.
AJ. I opted out of the more traditional route of going to university and decided to try the apprenticeship route. After securing a Level 3 BTEC diploma and EngTech accreditation, I’m studying for a BEng in Civil and Environmental Engineering. On completion, I will be eligible to apply to become an Incorporated Engineer. I will have a degree, a recognised professional qualification and the bonus of seven years of experience.
AJ. I did a masters degree in Geospatial Management. It combined environmental law with engineering and technical skills, such as ground and groundwater investigations. I write permit applications and provide environmental advice and support, managing communications between clients and authorities, so it was kind of perfect. I’m still learning a lot, but that is normal for a first job.
AJ. I am an architect and urban planner by training, and have strengthened my skill set on complicated urban development projects. Architectural training enables you to understand the scale of space around you and develop reasoning for any space based on its requirements. Urban planning focuses on the physical and social infrastructure components needed to help a city function for its citizens. To me, this combination of architecture and planning equips professionals to deliver for the present and prepare for the future.
AJ. As a young engineer, I want to lead large-scale, critical infrastructure projects in Sydney. I would like to carve out my own career trajectory while having enough learning and development opportunities.
AJ. I want to develop to be the most proficient structural engineer I can be. I am open to wherever that takes me.
AJ. I am an architect and urban planner by training, and have strengthened my skill set on complicated urban development projects. Architectural training enables you to understand the scale of space around you and develop reasoning for any space based on its requirements. Urban planning focuses on the physical and social infrastructure components needed to help a city function for its citizens. To me, this combination of architecture and planning equips professionals to deliver for the present and prepare for the future.

HOW WOULD YOU LIKE YOUR CAREER TO DEVELOP IN THE YEARS AHEAD?
AJ. Right now, I spend a lot of my time drafting reports, doing research, making maps and graphics, and giving presentations. Eventually, I would like to be a senior manager with more of an international focus. As part of a fellowship, I toured the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macau Bridge and I really want to be part of such exciting and complicated projects.
AJ. I am currently on secondment from Hong Kong, working on civil infrastructure projects in Sydney. I’m still learning the skills of the trade (I suppose you always are). But, down the line, I would like to lead large-scale, critical infrastructure projects. I would like to carve out my own career trajectory while having enough learning and development opportunities.
AJ. For me, the next step is to be a project manager, with more responsibility and input in the work I do. The more senior your role, the less directly involved you can be in projects. And, although progressing higher in a company is something I can imagine in the future, it’s still early days.
AJ. I want to develop to be the most proficient structural engineer I can be. I am open to wherever that takes me.
AJ. As a young engineer, I want to lead large-scale, critical infrastructure projects in Sydney. I would like to carve out my own career trajectory while having enough learning and development opportunities.
AJ. I am an architect and urban planner by training, and have strengthened my skill set on complicated urban development projects. Architectural training enables you to understand the scale of space around you and develop reasoning for any space based on its requirements. Urban planning focuses on the physical and social infrastructure components needed to help a city function for its citizens. To me, this combination of architecture and planning equips professionals to deliver for the present and prepare for the future.

WHAT ARE THE ISSUES THAT MATTER TO YOU WHEN YOU’RE CHOSING A COMPANY TO WORK FOR?
AJ. I look at the organization’s commitment to training and development. I am even willing to consider a lower salary if the training and development on offer is of a high enough standard. I also look for companies with a strong identity, clear goals and plans for growth that their people understand and can realize.
AJ. I want to work in a company where managers are mentors, with a focus on work-life balance! It is about making a difference.
AJ. I want to work in a company where managers are mentors, with a focus on work-life balance! It is about making a difference.
AJ. I want to work in a company where managers are mentors, with a focus on work-life balance! It is about making a difference.
AJ. I want to work in a company where managers are mentors, with a focus on work-life balance! It is about making a difference.

GROWING UP IN INDIA, ONE PARTICULAR ASPECT THAT CAUGHT MY ATTENTION WAS THE DEFICIENCY OF WATER INFRASTRUCTURE IN RURAL AREAS AND HOW IT IMPACTED PEOPLE’S LIVES.
POOJA MAHAJAN, CIVIL ENGINEER, LOS ANGELES, U.S.

8  http://www.ice.org.uk/programs/4yug_civl.html
9  https://www.ust.hk/programs/civil.html
10 https://www.ust.hk/programs/civil.html
11 https://www.ust.hk/programs/civil.html

THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE SKILLS AND TALENT
HOW DO YOU THINK THE INDUSTRY WILL EvOLVE IN THE COMING FIVE, THEN 10 YEARS?

1. It’s totally dependent on young professionals like us. Early adopters will thrive and be leaders. How we build, maintain, use, interact and pay for infrastructure will be different. Our solutions will incorporate more technology and computer-based modeling. Construction will be safer, faster and use more-durable materials. A lot of maintenance and inspection will be digitized, and more infrastructure will be funded privately or through public-private partnerships.

2. The industry will undergo major digitization. Millennials and Generation Z are tech savvy and we readily jump on digital trends — we expect our organizations to do the same. As our generation advances to positions of responsibility in the industry, we will push for our workflows to embrace the latest technologies.

3. I see the industry growing at an exponential rate. India is set to have 69 cities with populations of over one million citizens by 2035. The government is building new infrastructure and modernizing existing infrastructure to meet the demands of this new India. Aiming for 7–8 percent growth, its immediate demands of this new India. Aiming for 7–8 percent growth, its immediate environmental footprint.

4. Many of today’s young professionals grew up with the internet as a practicality another parent — able to access any information, to learn anything, at the push a button. Pair this with the workforce becoming increasingly social and you can see how approaches like integrated project delivery stand to hugely impact infrastructure in a good way.

5. The infrastructure sector is being increasingly challenged to improve its environmental profile. The younger generation are tech savvy, adaptable and creative — able to address the smart infrastructure revolution.

6. The infrastructure market is facing a significant change in how people interact with each other. But I feel like people are too often, tech companies drive change and other industries react and incorporate. Instead of modifying roadways to accommodate driverless technology, how can we work both project delivery stand to hugely impact infrastructure in a good way.

7. The future of infrastructure will need a different DNA — to support electric and autonomous vehicles, prefabricated houses, etc.

THE VIEW FROM THE PROFESSIONS

ALESHA PRINTZ-MAYE, P.E., ENV SP
GENERAL MANAGER, VICTORIA DIVISION, ENGINEERS AUSTRALIA

Young professionals’ career paths will be fast paced and exciting, but different. They will be more mobile. The corporate ladder will look more like a jungle gym with setbacks, and dare I say backwards, moves to progress upward. Young professionals will need to take ownership of their own professional and career development.

JESSE GORMLEY
CHAIR OF THE COMMITTEE ON YOUNGER MEMBERS, AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

Many of today’s young professionals grew up with the internet as a practicality another parent — able to access any information, to learn anything, at the push a button. Pair this with the workforce becoming increasingly social and you can see how approaches like integrated project delivery stand to hugely impact infrastructure in a good way.

STEVE FEELEY
DIRECTOR OF MEMBERSHIP RECRUITMENT, INSTITUTION OF CIVIL ENGINEERS

As highly creative, inquisitive, scientific problem solvers driven by a desire to make a difference, young infrastructure professionals today have much in common with previous generations. The significant differences is the skills they will need to thrive in the Fourth Industrial Revolution.

Educated and raised in an era of huge technological change, these young professionals see smart technology and Internet connectivity as part of the fabric of daily life and expect these tools to be readily available to use in all aspects of their role. The information they need to help them do their jobs is also only a click away. This gives rise to a different set of challenges, requiring knowledge, judgment, curiosity, interpretation and assimilation to process effectively.

In addition, the ever-increasing multi-disciplinary nature of the infrastructure delivery system, combined with digital means, that infrastructure teams will become more diverse, making collaboration, communication, team-working and leadership even more critical.

We’re already seeing a new generation of engineers keen to bring their technological understanding into traditional engineering disciplines where perhaps, it is not yet the norm — with apprentice and graduate digital design programs sharing innovative and digital best practices. This generation will be at the forefront in delivering the smart infrastructure revolution that is happening now.

THE FUTURE OF INFRASTRUCTURE

SKILLS AND TALENT

IN THE FUTURE, INFRASTRUCTURE WILL NEED A DIFFERENT DNA — TO SUPPORT ELECTRIC AND AUTONOMOUS VEHICLES, PREFABRICATED HOUSES, ETC.

AVNI JAIN, CIVIL ENGINEER, SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA
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ABOUT THE RESEARCH

The analysis in this report draws on the results of a global online survey of 10,750 people residing in 10 cities: Los Angeles (1,121 respondents), London (1,118), New York (1,128), Hong Kong (1,031), Riyadh (980), Sydney (1,096), Chicago (1,014), Mumbai (1,088), Singapore (1,109), and Toronto (1,065).

Respondents were not compensated for their participation and AECOM was not identified as the research sponsor.

The survey was conducted in September and October 2018 on behalf of AECOM by Longitude, a Financial Times company.
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Infrastructure is everyone’s business. Discover the unique stories of 10 cities by visiting: infrastructure.aecom.com
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